arXiv:1212.4011v2 [math.CA] 20 Dec 2012

Weak and Strong Type Weighted Estimates for Multilinear Calderón-Zygmund Operators*

Kangwei Li and Wenchang Sun[†]
School of Mathematical Sciences and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China
Email: likangwei9@mail.nankai.edu.cn, sunwch@nankai.edu.cn

Abstract

In this paper, we study the weighted estimates for multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators from $L^{p_1}(w_1)\times\cdots\times L^{p_m}(w_m)$ to $L^p(v_{\vec{w}})$, where $1<p,p_1,\cdots,p_m<\infty$ with $1/p_1+\cdots+1/p_m=1/p$ and $\vec{w}=(w_1,\cdots,w_m)$ is a multiple $A_{\vec{P}}$ weight. We give weak and strong type weighted estimates of mixed A_p-A_∞ type. Moreover, the strong type weighted estimate is sharp whenever $\max_i p_i\le p'/(mp-1)$.

Keywords. multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators; multiple $A_{\vec{P}}$ weights; weighted inequalities.

1 Introduction and Main Results

The weighted estimate for operators is an interesting topic in harmonic analysis. And it has attracted many authors in this area [4, 9, 18, 20, 24, 25]. In this paper, we study the weighted estimates for multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators with multiple $A_{\vec{P}}$ weights.

Recall that T is called a multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operator if T is initially defined on the m-fold product of Schwartz spaces and taking values into the space of tempered distributions,

$$T:\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)\times\cdots\times\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)\to\mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

and for some $1\le q_i<\infty$, it extends to a bounded multilinear operator from $L^{q_1}\times\cdots\times L^{q_m}$ to L^q , where $1/q_1+\cdots+1/q_m=1/q$, and if there exists a function K, defined off the diagonal $x=y_1=\cdots=y_m$ in $(\mathbb{R}^n)^{m+1}$, satisfying

$$T(f_1,\cdots,f_m)(x)=\int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^m}K(x,y_1,\cdots,y_m)f_1(y_1)\cdots f_m(y_m)dy_1\cdots dy_m$$

for all $x\notin\bigcap_{j=1}^m\operatorname{supp} f_i$;

$$|K(y_0,y_1,\cdots,y_m)|\le\frac{A}{(\sum_{k,l=0}^m|y_k-y_l|)^{mn}}$$

---

[*] This work was supported partially by the National Natural Science Foundation of China(10990012) and the Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education.

[†] Corresponding author.

and

$$|K(y_0,\cdots,y_i,\cdots,y_m)-K(y_0,\cdots,y_i',\cdots,y_m)|\le\frac{A|y_i-y_i'|^{\varepsilon}}{(\sum_{k,l=0}^{m}|y_k-y_l|)^{mn+\varepsilon}}$$

for some $A,\varepsilon>0$ and all $0\le i\le m$, whenever $|y_i-y_i'|\le\frac{1}{2}\max_{0\le k\le m}|y_i-y_k|$.

For the theory of multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators, we refer the readers to [4, 5, 6, 7, 8] for an overview.

The multiple $A_{\vec{P}}$ weights introduced by Lerner, Ombrosi, Pérez, Torres and Trujillo-González [18] are defined as follows. Let $\vec{P}=(p_1,\cdots,p_m)$ with $1\le p_1,\cdots,p_m<\infty$ and $1/p_1+\cdots+1/p_m=1/p$. Given $\vec{w}=(w_1,\cdots,w_m)$, set

$$v_{\vec{w}}=\prod_{i=1}^{m}w_i^{p/p_i}.$$

We say that $\vec{w}$ satisfies the multilinear $A_{\vec{P}}$ condition if

$$[\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}:=\sup_Q\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q v_{\vec{w}}\right)\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q w_i^{1-p_i'}\right)^{p/p_i'}<\infty,$$

where $[\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}$ is called the $A_{\vec{P}}$ constant of $\vec{w}$. When $p_i=1$, $(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q w_i^{1-p_i'})^{1/p_i'}$ is understood as $(\inf_Q w_i)^{-1}$. It is easy to see that in the linear case (that is, $m=1$) $[\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}=[w]_{A_p}$ is the usual A_p constant. Recall that $A_\infty=\bigcup_{1\le p<\infty}A_p$ and the A_∞ constant $[w]_{A_\infty}$ is defined by

$$[w]_{A_\infty}:=\sup_Q\frac{1}{w(Q)}\int_Q M(w\chi_Q).$$

In [18], it was shown that for $1<p_1,\cdots,p_m<\infty$, $\vec{w}\in A_{\vec{P}}$ if and only if $w_i^{1-p_i'}\in A_{mp_i'}$ and $v_{\vec{w}}\in A_{mp}$.

For the linear case, i.e. $m=1$, the A_p-A_∞ type estimates for Calderón-Zygmund operators were investigated in [11]. Notice that the main technique in [11] is an appropriate characterization which simplifies the estimate of the weighted bounds to calculate a test condition [12, 15]. The advantage of their technique is that it does not rely upon the extrapolation. In this paper, roughly speaking, we follow the idea used in [12]. But we do not use the method such as the linearization used in that paper. Instead, we use the idea of Damián, Lerner and Pérez [3] and reduce the problem to consider the following type of operators,

$$A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(\vec{f})=\sum_{j,k}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m}\frac{1}{|Q_{j,k}|}\int_{Q_{j,k}}f_i(y_i)dy_i\right)\chi_{Q_{j,k}},$$

where $\vec{f}:=(f_1,\cdots,f_m)$, $\mathscr{D}$ is a dyadic grid and $\mathcal{S}:=\{Q_{j,k}\}$ is a sparse family in $\mathscr{D}$ (see Section 2 for definitions of these notations).

In the linear case, Lerner [16, 17] investigated this type of operators and gave a simple proof for the A_2 conjecture. For the fundamental theory of A_p weights and the history of the A_2 conjecture, we refer the readers to [1, 2, 10, 13, 14, 22, 23] for an overview.

In [3], Damián, Lerner and Pérez studied the sharp weighted bound of multilinear maximal function of mixed A_p-A_∞ type and gave a multilinear version of the A_2 conjecture.

In [19], the authors estimated the weighted bound of the multilinear maximal function and Calderón-Zygmund operators in terms of $[\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}$.

In this paper, we estimate the weighted bound of multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators of mixed A_p-A_∞ type. We give the sharp estimate for some cases. To be precise, the main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 1.1 *Let T be a multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operator, $\vec{P} = (p_1, \cdots, p_m)$ with $1/p = 1/p_1 + \cdots + 1/p_m$ and $1 < p, p_1, \cdots, p_m < \infty$. Suppose that $\vec{w} = (w_1, \cdots, w_m)$ with $\vec{w} \in A_{\vec{P}}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(v_{\vec{w}})} \quad \leq \quad & C_{m,n,\vec{P},T}[\vec{w}]^{1/p}_{A_{\vec{P}}}\bigg(\prod_{i=1}^{m}[\sigma_i]^{1/p_i}_{A_\infty} \\ & + [v_{\vec{w}}]^{1/p'}_{A_\infty}\sum_{i'=1}^{m}\prod_{i\neq i'}[\sigma_i]^{1/p_i}_{A_\infty}\bigg)\prod_{i=1}^{m}\|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(w_i)}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\sigma_i = w_i^{1-p'_i}$, $i = 1, \cdots, m$. The result is sharp in the sense that the exponents can not be improved whenever $\max_i p_i \leq p'/(mp - 1)$.

For the weak type estimates, we get a similar result.

Theorem 1.2 *Let T be a multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operator, $\vec{P} = (p_1, \cdots, p_m)$ with $1/p = 1/p_1+\cdots+1/p_m$ and $1 < p, p_1, \cdots, p_m < \infty$. Suppose that $\vec{w} := (w_1, \cdots, w_m) \in A_{\vec{P}}$. Then we have*

$$\|T(\vec{f})\|_{L^{p,\infty}(v_{\vec{w}})} \leq C_{m,n,\vec{P},T}[\vec{w}]^{1/p}_{A_{\vec{P}}}[v_{\vec{w}}]^{1/p'}_{A_\infty}\bigg(\sum_{i'=1}^{m}\prod_{i\neq i'}[\sigma_i]^{1/p_i}_{A_\infty}\bigg)\prod_{i=1}^{m}\|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(w_i)}.$$

In the rest of this paper, we give proofs for the main results. To avoid cumbersome notations, we only prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 for the case $m = 2$. And the general case can be proved similarly but with more complicated symbols.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we collect some notations and preliminary results. Recall that the standard dyadic grid in $\mathbb{R}^n$ consists of the cubes

$$[0, 2^{-k})^n + 2^{-k}j, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

Denote the standard dyadic grid by $\mathcal{D}$.

By a general dyadic grid $\mathscr{D}$ we mean a collection of cubes with the following properties: (i) for any $Q \in \mathscr{D}$ its sidelength l_Q is of the form 2^k, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$; (ii) $Q \cap R \in \{Q, R, \emptyset\}$ for any $Q, R \in \mathscr{D}$; (iii) the cubes of a fixed sidelength 2^k form a partition of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

We say that $\mathcal{S} := \{Q_{j,k}\}$ is a sparse family of cubes if:

(i). for each fixed k the cubes $Q_{j,k}$ are pairwise disjoint;

(ii). if $\Gamma_k = \bigcup_j Q_{j,k}$, then $\Gamma_{k+1} \subset \Gamma_k$;

(iii). $|\Gamma_{k+1} \bigcap Q_{j,k}| \leq \frac{1}{2}|Q_{j,k}|$.

For any $Q_{j,k} \in \mathcal{S}$, we define $E(Q_{j,k}) = Q_{j,k} \setminus \Gamma_{k+1}$. Then the sets $E(Q_{j,k})$ are pairwise disjoint and $|E(Q_{j,k})| \geq \frac{1}{2}|Q_{j,k}|$.

In [3], Damián, Lerner and Pérez proved that for any Banach function space $\mathcal{X}$ over $\mathbb{R}^n$ equipped with Lebesgue measure,

$$\|T(\vec{f})\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq C \sup_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}} \|A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(|\vec{f}|)\|_{\mathcal{X}}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $|\vec{f}| = (|f_1|, \cdots, |f_m|)$ and the supremum is taken over arbitrary dyadic grids $\mathscr{D}$ and sparse families $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathscr{D}$. Specially, for $\mathcal{X} = L^p(v_{\vec{w}})$, $1 \leq p < \infty$,

$$\|T(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(v_{\vec{w}})} \leq C \sup_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}} \|A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(|\vec{f}|)\|_{L^p(v_{\vec{w}})}. \tag{2.2}$$

Let $\mathbb{E}_Q^\sigma f := \sigma(Q)^{-1} \int_Q f\sigma$. We introduce the principal cubes [12].

Definition 2.1 (Principal cubes) *We form the collection $\mathcal{G}$ of principal cubes as follows. Let $\mathcal{G}_0 := \{\overline{Q}\}$ (the maximal dyadic cube that we consider). And inductively,*

$$\mathcal{G}_k := \bigcup_{G \in \mathcal{G}_{k-1}} \{G' \subset G : \mathbb{E}_{G'}^\sigma |f| > 4\mathbb{E}_G^\sigma |f|, G' \textit{is a maximal such dyadic cube}\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{G} := \bigcup_{k=0}^\infty \mathcal{G}_k$. For any dyadic $Q(\subset \overline{Q})$, we let

$$\Gamma(Q) := \textit{the minimal principal cube containing } Q.$$

It follows from the definition that

$$\mathbb{E}_Q^\sigma |f| \leq 4\mathbb{E}_{\Gamma(Q)}^\sigma |f|.$$

From the idea of principal cubes, we have the following decomposition, which is similar to the ordinary corona decomposition (See [14, 21]).

Let $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathscr{D}$ be any collection of dyadic cubes such that for any $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$, there exists a maximal cube $Q_{max} \in \mathcal{Q}$ which contains Q. Let $\sigma_1 dx$ and $\sigma_2 dx$ be two positive measures. We call $(\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{Q}(L)) : \mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{Q}$ a (σ_1, σ_2)-corona decomposition of $\mathcal{Q}$ if these conditions hold.

(i). For each $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ there is a member of $\mathcal{L}$ that contains Q. Let $\lambda(Q) \in \mathcal{L}$ denote the minimal cube which contains Q. Then we have

$$4\frac{\sigma_1(\lambda(Q))\sigma_2(\lambda(Q))}{|\lambda(Q)|^2} \geq \frac{\sigma_1(Q)\sigma_2(Q)}{|Q|^2}.$$

(ii). For all $L', L \in \mathcal{L}$ with $L' \subsetneq L$,

$$\frac{\sigma_1(L')\sigma_2(L')}{|L'|^2} > 4\frac{\sigma_1(L)\sigma_2(L)}{|L|^2}.$$

We set $\mathcal{Q}(L) := \{Q \in \mathcal{Q} : \lambda(Q) = L\}$. The collection $\mathcal{Q}(L)$ forms a partition of $\mathcal{Q}$.

Note that $\{Q \times Q : Q \in \mathcal{Q}\}$ is a collection of dyadic cubes in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Therefore, the (σ_1, σ_2)-corona decomposition of $\mathcal{Q}$ is in fact the ordinary corona decomposition of $\{Q \times Q : Q \in \mathcal{Q}\}$ with respect to the measure $\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2$.

Now we introduce some preliminary results. The following result is obvious and we omit the proof.

Lemma 2.2 *Any sub-family of a sparse family is also sparse.*

Next we give a property of A_∞ weights on sparse family.

Lemma 2.3 *Let $w \in A_\infty$ and $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathscr{D}$ be a sparse family. Suppose that there is some $S \in \mathscr{D}$ such that any cube in $\mathcal{Q}$ is contained in S. Then*

$$\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}} w(Q) \le 2\int_S M(w1_S)(x)dx \le 2[w]_{A_\infty} w(S).$$

Proof. Set

$$E(Q) = Q \setminus \bigcup_{Q'\in\mathcal{Q}, Q'\subsetneq Q} Q'.$$

By the sparse property, $E(Q)$ are disjoint and $|E(Q)| \ge \frac{1}{2}|Q|$. Then we have

$$\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}} w(Q) \le 2\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}} \frac{w(Q)}{|Q|}|E(Q)| \le 2\int_S M(w1_S)(x)dx \le 2[w]_{A_\infty} w(S).$$

$\square$

By (2.2), we have to estimate $\|A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(|\vec{f}|)\|_{L^p(v_{\vec{w}})}$. First, we consider a special case.

Lemma 2.4 *Suppose that $(w_1, w_2) \in A_{\vec{P}}$, where $\vec{P} = (p_1, p_2)$ and $1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$. Let $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathscr{D}$ be a sparse family. Suppose that there is some $S \in \mathscr{D}$ such that any cube in $\mathcal{Q}$ is contained in S. Set*

$$A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{Q}}(\vec{f}) = \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{2} \frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q f_i(y_i)dy_i\right)\chi_Q.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{Q}}(\sigma_1 1_S, \sigma_2 1_S)\right\|_{L^p(v_{\vec{w}})}\\ &\quad\lesssim [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}^{1/p}\Big(\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}} \sigma_1(Q)\Big)^{1/p_1} \cdot \Big(\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}} \sigma_2(Q)\Big)^{1/p_2}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume that $p_1 \le p_2$. Set

$$\mathcal{Q}_a := \left\{Q \in \mathcal{Q} : 2^a < \left(\frac{v_{\vec{w}}(Q)}{|Q|}\right)^{1/p} \prod_{i=1}^{2} \left(\frac{\sigma_i(Q)}{|Q|}\right)^{1/p_i'} \le 2^{a+1}\right\},$$

where $-1 \le a \le \lfloor \log_2 [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}^{1/p} \rfloor$. Form the $(\sigma_1,\, \sigma_2)$-corona decomposition of $\mathcal{Q}_a$. We get $\mathcal{L}_a$. Define

$$A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{Q}_a(L)}(x) = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_a(L)} \frac{\sigma_1(Q)\sigma_2(Q)}{|Q|^2} \chi_Q(x).$$

We conclude that there exists some $c > 0$ such that for $L \in \mathcal{L}_a$ and $t \ge 0$, we have

$$\left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{A}_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{Q}_a(L)}(x) > 4t \frac{\sigma_1(L)\sigma_2(L)}{|L|^2} \right\} \right| \le 2^{-t+2} |L|, \tag{2.3}$$

$$v_{\vec{w}} \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{A}_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{Q}_a(L)}(x) > t \frac{\sigma_1(L)\sigma_2(L)}{|L|^2} \right\} \right) \lesssim 2^{-ct} v_{\vec{w}}(L). \tag{2.4}$$

First, we prove (2.3). It is obvious that

$$\left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{A}_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{Q}_a(L)}(x) > 4 \frac{\sigma_1(L)\sigma_2(L)}{|L|^2} \right\} \right| \le |L|.$$

Since for $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_a(L)$,

$$\frac{\sigma_1(Q)\sigma_2(Q)}{|Q|^2} \le 4 \frac{\sigma_1(L)\sigma_2(L)}{|L|^2},$$

by the sparse property of $\mathcal{Q}$, we have for any integer τ,

$$\left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{A}_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{Q}_a(L)}(x) > 4\tau \frac{\sigma_1(L)\sigma_2(L)}{|L|^2} \right\} \right| \le 2^{-\tau+1} |L|.$$

This proves (2.3).

Next we prove (2.4). For integers $b \ge 0$, we define $\mathcal{Q}_{a,b}(L)$ to be the set consisting of $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_a(L)$ such that

$$2^{-b+1} \frac{\sigma_1(L)\sigma_2(L)}{|L|^2} < \frac{\sigma_1(Q)\sigma_2(Q)}{|Q|^2} \le 2^{-b+2} \frac{\sigma_1(L)\sigma_2(L)}{|L|^2}.$$

Define

$$E_b(t) := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{A}_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{Q}_{a,b}(L)}(x) > 4t 2^{-b} \frac{\sigma_1(L)\sigma_2(L)}{|L|^2} \right\},$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{Q}_{a,b}(L)}(x) = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{a,b}(L)} \frac{\sigma_1(Q)\sigma_2(Q)}{|Q|^2} \chi_Q(x).$$

Similar arguments as the above show that $|E_b(t)| \le 2^{-t+2}|L|$. Let $K = 4 \sum_{b \ge 0} 2^{-b/2}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& v_{\vec{w}} \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{A}_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{Q}_a(L)}(x) > t \frac{\sigma_1(L)\sigma_2(L)}{|L|^2} \right\} \right) \\
\le \; & \sum_{b \ge 0} v_{\vec{w}} \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{A}_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{Q}_{a,b}(L)}(x) > 4t 2^{-b/2} K^{-1} \frac{\sigma_1(L)\sigma_2(L)}{|L|^2} \right\} \right) \\
= \; & \sum_{b \ge 0} v_{\vec{w}}(E_b(2^{b/2} K^{-1} t)).
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $E_b(2^{b/2}K^{-1}t)=\bigcup_j R_j^b$, where R_j^b are pairwise disjoint maximal dyadic cubes in $E_b(2^{b/2}K^{-1}t)$. Notice that $R_j^b\in\mathcal{Q}_{a,b}(L)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
v_{\vec{w}}(E_b(2^{b/2}K^{-1}t)) &= \sum_j v_{\vec{w}}(R_j^b)\\
&\lesssim \sum_j \left(2^a\frac{|R_j^b|^2}{\sigma_1(R_j^b)^{1/p_1'}\sigma_2(R_j^b)^{1/p_2'}}\right)^p\\
&= \sum_j \frac{2^{ap}|R_j^b|^{2p/p_2'}}{\sigma_1(R_j^b)^{p/p_2'}\sigma_2(R_j^b)^{p/p_2'}}\sigma_1(R_j^b)^{p/p_1-p/p_2}|R_j^b|^{2p/p_2}\\
&\lesssim \sum_j \frac{2^{ap}2^{bp/p_2'}|L|^{2p/p_2'}}{\sigma_1(L)^{p/p_2'}\sigma_2(L)^{p/p_2'}}\sigma_1(R_j^b)^{p/p_1-p/p_2}|R_j^b|^{2p/p_2}\\
&\le 2^{bp/p_2'}\sum_j\left(\frac{\sigma_1(R_j^b)}{\sigma_1(L)}\right)^{p/p_1-p/p_2}\cdot\left(\frac{|R_j^b|}{|L|}\right)^{2p/p_2}v_{\vec{w}}(L)\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\text{(By the definition of }\mathcal{Q}_a\text{)}\\
&\le 2^{bp/p_2'}\left(\sum_j\frac{\sigma_1(R_j^b)}{\sigma_1(L)}\right)^{p/p_1-p/p_2}\cdot\left(\sum_j\frac{|R_j^b|}{|L|}\right)^{2p/p_2}v_{\vec{w}}(L)\\
&\le 2^{bp/p_2'}2^{(-K^{-1}2^{b/2}t+2)\frac{2p}{p_2}}v_{\vec{w}}(L).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that for $t\ge 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&v_{\vec{w}}\left(\left\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:\mathcal{A}_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{Q}_a(L)}(x)>t\frac{\sigma_1(L)\sigma_2(L)}{|L|^2}\right\}\right)\\
\le{}& \sum_{b\ge 0}v_{\vec{w}}(E_b(2^{b/2}K^{-1}t))\\
\lesssim{}& \sum_{b\ge 0}2^{bp/p_2'}2^{(-K^{-1}2^{b/2}t+2)\frac{2p}{p_2}}v_{\vec{w}}(L)\\
\le{}& \sum_{b\ge 0}2^{bp/p_2'}2^{(-K^{-1}2^{b/2}(1+t)/2+2)\frac{2p}{p_2}}v_{\vec{w}}(L)\\
\lesssim{}& 2^{-ct}v_{\vec{w}}(L),
\end{aligned}$$

where $c=K^{-1}p/p_2$. For $0\le t<1$, it is obvious that (2.4) is correct.

For $L\in\mathcal{L}_a$ and $d\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, let

$$L_{a,d}=\left\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:\mathcal{A}_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{Q}_a(L)}(x)\in(d,d+1]\frac{\sigma_1(L)\sigma_2(L)}{|L|^2}\right\}.$$

It is obvious that $L_{a,d}\subset L$ and by (2.4),

$$v_{\vec{w}}(L_{a,d})\lesssim 2^{-cd}v_{\vec{w}}(L).$$

By the definition of (σ_1, σ_2)-corona decomposition, we have

$$\sum_{L\in\mathcal{L}_a}\frac{\sigma_1(L)\sigma_2(L)}{|L|^2}\chi_{L_{a,d}}(x)\asymp\left(\sum_{L\in\mathcal{L}_a}\left(\frac{\sigma_1(L)\sigma_2(L)}{|L|^2}\right)^p\chi_{L_{a,d}}(x)\right)^{1/p}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{Q}}(\sigma_1 1_S,\sigma_2 1_S)\right\|_{L^p(v_{\vec{w}})}\\
\leq\ & \sum_{a=-1}^{\lfloor \log_2 [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}^{1/p}\rfloor} \left\|A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{Q}_a}(x)\right\|_{L^p(v_{\vec{w}})}\\
\leq\ & \sum_{a=-1}^{\lfloor \log_2 [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}^{1/p}\rfloor} \sum_{d=0}^{\infty}(d+1)\left\|\sum_{L\in\mathcal{L}_a}\frac{\sigma_1(L)\sigma_2(L)}{|L|^2}\chi_{L_{a,d}}(x)\right\|_{L^p(v_{\vec{w}})}\\
\lesssim\ & \sum_{a=-1}^{\lfloor \log_2 [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}^{1/p}\rfloor} \sum_{d=0}^{\infty}\frac{d+1}{2^{cd/p}}\left(\sum_{L\in\mathcal{L}_a}\left(\frac{\sigma_1(L)\sigma_2(L)}{|L|^2}\right)^p v_{\vec{w}}(L)\right)^{1/p}\\
\lesssim\ & \sum_{a=-1}^{\lfloor \log_2 [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}^{1/p}\rfloor} 2^a\left(\sum_{L\in\mathcal{L}_a}\sigma_1(L)^{p/p_1}\sigma_2(L)^{p/p_2}\right)^{1/p}\\
\lesssim\ & [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}^{1/p}\Big(\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}}\sigma_1(Q)\Big)^{1/p_1}\cdot\Big(\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}}\sigma_2(Q)\Big)^{1/p_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

The following result gives another special case of $\|A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(|\vec{f}|)\|_{L^p(v_{\vec{w}})}$. Since its proof shares some common steps with the one for Theorem 1.1, we postpone the proof to Section 3.

Lemma 2.5 *Suppose that* $(w_1,w_2)\in A_{\vec{P}}$ *with* $\vec{P}=(p_1,p_2)$ *satisfies that* $1/p=1/p_1+1/p_2$ *and that* $1<p,p_1,p_2<\infty$. *Let* S *be a dyadic cube and* $\operatorname{supp} f_1\subset S$. *Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|1_S A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(|f_1|\sigma_1,\sigma_2 1_S)\right\|_{L^p(v_{\vec{w}})} \ \lesssim\ & [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}^{1/p}[\sigma_2]_{A_\infty}^{1/p_2}([v_{\vec{w}}]_{A_\infty}^{1/p'}+[\sigma_1]_{A_\infty}^{1/p_1})\\
&\cdot\|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}(\sigma_1)}\sigma_2(S)^{1/p_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

To prove the main result, we also need the following result on multiple weights.

Lemma 2.6 *[19, Lemma 2.2] Suppose that* $\vec{w}=(w_1,\cdots,w_m)\in A_{\vec{P}}$ *and that* $1<p$, p_1, $\cdots$, $p_m<\infty$ *with* $1/p_1+\cdots+1/p_m=1/p$. *Then* $\vec{w}^i:=(w_1,\ \cdots,\ w_{i-1},\ v_{\vec{w}}^{1-p'},\ w_{i+1},\ \cdots,\ w_m)\in A_{\vec{P}^i}$ *with* $\vec{P}^i=(p_1,\ \cdots,\ p_{i-1},\ p',\ p_{i+1},\ \cdots,\ p_m)$ *and*

$$[\vec{w}^i]_{A_{\vec{P}^i}}=[\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}^{p_i'/p}.$$

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1. Without loss of generality, we assume that $f_1,f_2\geq 0$. Denote $\Omega_l:=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n: A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1,f_2\sigma_2)(x)>2^l\}$ and let $\mathcal{Q}_l$ denote the set of maximal dyadic cubes in Ω_l. By the structure of $A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}$, any cube in $\mathcal{Q}_l$ must be some cube $Q_{j,k}\in\mathcal{S}$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1,f_2\sigma_2)\right\|_{L^p(v_{\vec{w}})}^p &\leq 4^p\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}}2^{lp}v_{\vec{w}}(\Omega_{l+1}\setminus\Omega_{l+2})\\ &= 4^p\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l}2^{lp}v_{\vec{w}}(Q\cap\Omega_{l+1}\setminus\Omega_{l+2})\\ &= 4^p\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l}2^{lp}v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q)),\end{aligned}$$

where $E_l(Q)=Q\cap\Omega_{l+1}\setminus\Omega_{l+2}$. By the maximal property of $Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l$, we have

$$\sum_{Q_{j,k}\supset Q}\prod_{i=1}^{2}\frac{1}{|Q_{j,k}|}\int_{Q_{j,k}}f_i(y_i)\sigma_i dy_i>2^l$$

and

$$\sum_{Q_{j,k}\supsetneq Q}\prod_{i=1}^{2}\frac{1}{|Q_{j,k}|}\int_{Q_{j,k}}f_i(y_i)\sigma_i dy_i\leq 2^l.$$

Therefore, for $x\in E_l(Q)$, we have

$$2^{l+1}<A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1,f_2\sigma_2)(x)\leq A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_Q,f_2\sigma_2 1_Q)(x)+2^l.$$

That is,

$$A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_Q,f_2\sigma_2 1_Q)(x)>2^l,\quad x\in E_l(Q).$$

Thus, for sufficiently small $\beta>0$ to be determined later, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1,f_2\sigma_2)\right\|_{L^p(v_{\vec{w}})}^p\\ \leq\ & 4^p\sum_{\substack{l\in\mathbb{Z},Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l\\ v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))\leq\beta v_{\vec{w}}(Q)}}2^{lp}\beta v_{\vec{w}}(Q)+4^p\sum_{\substack{l\in\mathbb{Z},Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l\\ v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))>\beta v_{\vec{w}}(Q)}}2^{lp}v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))\\ \leq\ & \frac{4^p\beta}{1-2^{-p}}\left\|A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1,f_2\sigma_2)\right\|_{L^p(v_{\vec{w}})}^p+4^p\sum_{\substack{l\in\mathbb{Z},Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l\\ v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))>\beta v_{\vec{w}}(Q)}}v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))^{1-p}\\ &\cdot\left(\int_{E_l(Q)}A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_Q,f_2\sigma_2 1_Q)(x)v_{\vec{w}}dx\right)^p.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by setting $\beta=4^{-p}(1-2^{-p})/2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1,f_2\sigma_2)\right\|_{L^p(v_{\vec{w}})}^p\\ \lesssim\ & \sum_{\substack{l\in\mathbb{Z},Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l\\ v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))>\beta v_{\vec{w}}(Q)}}v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))^{1-p}\left(\int_{E_l(Q)}A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_Q,f_2\sigma_2 1_Q)(x)v_{\vec{w}}dx\right)^p\\ \lesssim\ & \sum_{\substack{l\in\mathbb{Z},Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l\\ v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))>\beta v_{\vec{w}}(Q)}}v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))^{1-p}\end{aligned}\tag{3.1}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad\qquad \times\Big(\int_{E_l(Q)} A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}}, f_2\sigma_2 1_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}})(x)v_{\vec{w}}dx\Big)^p \\
& + \sum_{\substack{l\in\mathbb{Z},Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l \\ v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))>\beta v_{\vec{w}}(Q)}} v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))^{1-p} \\
& \qquad\qquad \times\Big(\int_{E_l(Q)} A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}}, f_2\sigma_2 1_{Q\cap\Omega_{l+2}})(x)v_{\vec{w}}dx\Big)^p \\
& + \sum_{\substack{l\in\mathbb{Z},Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l \\ v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))>\beta v_{\vec{w}}(Q)}} v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))^{1-p} \\
& \qquad\qquad \times\Big(\int_{E_l(Q)} A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\cap\Omega_{l+2}}, f_2\sigma_2 1_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}})(x)v_{\vec{w}}dx\Big)^p \\
& + \sum_{\substack{l\in\mathbb{Z},Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l \\ v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))>\beta v_{\vec{w}}(Q)}} v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))^{1-p} \\
& \qquad\qquad \times\Big(\int_{E_l(Q)} A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\cap\Omega_{l+2}}, f_2\sigma_2 1_{Q\cap\Omega_{l+2}})(x)v_{\vec{w}}dx\Big)^p \\
:= {} & I_1+I_2+I_3+I_4.
\end{aligned}
$$

In the rest of this section, we use the following **conventions**.

(i). all l in these sums are of the same parity; after all, there are just two such sums;

(ii). keep in mind the restriction

$$v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q)) > \beta v_{\vec{w}}(Q) \tag{3.2}$$

appearing in all these sums and we omit it in the rest of this section;

(iii). by the monotone convergence theorem, we may also assume that all appearing cubes are contained in some maximal dyadic cube $\overline{Q}$. Then we can use the technique of principal cubes.

Before further estimates, we give two lemmas. The first can be proved with similar arguments as that in [12, pp. 20-21] and we omit the details.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the principal cubes with respect to f_1 and σ_1, and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ be the principal cubes with respect to f_2 and σ_2. Suppose that $\Gamma(Q)$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}(Q)$ are defined as that in Definition 2.1. Then*

$$\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l}\sum_{\substack{R\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2},R\subset Q \\ \mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1}f_1>16\mathbb{E}_{\Gamma(Q)}^{\sigma_1}f_1}} \sigma_1(R)(\mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1}f_1)^{p_1} \lesssim \|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}(\sigma_1)}^{p_1}. \tag{3.3}$$

$$\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l}\sum_{\substack{\tilde{R}\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2},\tilde{R}\subset Q \\ \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{R}}^{\sigma_2}f_2>16\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{\Gamma}(Q)}^{\sigma_2}f_2}} \sigma_2(\tilde{R})(\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{R}}^{\sigma_2}f_2)^{p_2} \lesssim \|f_2\|_{L^{p_2}(\sigma_2)}^{p_2}. \tag{3.4}$$

And the second one can be seen from the definition of principal cubes.

Lemma 3.2 *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the principal cubes with respect to f_1 and σ_1 and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ be the principal cubes with respect to f_2 and σ_2. Then*

$$\sum_{G\in\mathcal{G}}(\mathbb{E}_G^{\sigma_1}f_1)^{p_1}\sigma_1(G)\lesssim\|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}(\sigma_1)}^{p_1}. \tag{3.5}$$

$$\sum_{\tilde{G}\in\tilde{\mathcal{G}}}(\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{G}}^{\sigma_2}f_2)^{p_2}\sigma_2(\tilde{G})\lesssim\|f_2\|_{L^{p_2}(\sigma_2)}^{p_2}. \tag{3.6}$$

Next we give a proof for Lemma 2.5.

Proof of Lemma 2.5. Without loss of generality, assume that $f_1\geq 0$. Set

$$A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}^1(f_1\sigma_1,1_S\sigma_2)=\sum_{Q_{j,k}\supsetneq S}\frac{\int_{Q_{j,k}}f_1(y_1)\sigma_1dy_1\sigma_2(Q_{j,k}\cap S)}{|Q_{j,k}|^2}\chi_{Q_{j,k}}$$

and

$$A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}^2(f_1\sigma_1,1_S\sigma_2)=\sum_{Q_{j,k}\subset S}\frac{\int_{Q_{j,k}}f_1(y_1)\sigma_1dy_1\sigma_2(Q_{j,k}\cap S)}{|Q_{j,k}|^2}\chi_{Q_{j,k}}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}1_SA_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}^1(f_1\sigma_1,1_S\sigma_2)&=\sum_{Q_{j,k}\supsetneq S}\frac{\int_{Q_{j,k}}f_1(y_1)\sigma_1dy_1\sigma_2(Q_{j,k}\cap S)}{|Q_{j,k}|^2}\chi_S\\&\lesssim\frac{\int_S f_1(y_1)\sigma_1dy_1\sigma_2(S)}{|S|^2}\chi_S\\&\leq\frac{\|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}(\sigma_1)}\sigma_1(S)^{1/p_1'}\sigma_2(S)}{|S|^2}\chi_S.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|1_SA_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}^1(f_1\sigma_1,\sigma_2 1_S)\right\|_{L^p(v_{\vec{w}})}&\lesssim\frac{\|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}(\sigma_1)}\sigma_1(S)^{1/p_1'}\sigma_2(S)}{|S|^2}v_{\vec{w}}(S)^{1/p}\\&\leq[\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}^{1/p}\|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}(\sigma_1)}\sigma_2(S)^{1/p_2}.\end{aligned}$$

It remains to estimate $A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}^2(|f_1|\sigma_1,1_S\sigma_2)$. Without loss of generality, assume that all cubes in $\mathcal{S}$ are contained in S. By the previous arguments, we only need to estimate (3.1) in the special case $f_2=1_S$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1,1_S\sigma_2)\right\|_{L^p(v_{\vec{w}})}^p\\\lesssim&\sum_{\substack{l\in\mathbb{Z},Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l\\v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))>\beta v_{\vec{w}}(Q)}}v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))^{1-p}\bigg(\int_{E_l(Q)}A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_Q,1_S\sigma_2 1_Q)(x)v_{\vec{w}}dx\bigg)^p\\\lesssim&\sum_{\substack{l\in\mathbb{Z},Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l\\v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))>\beta v_{\vec{w}}(Q)}}v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))^{1-p}\\&\qquad\times\bigg(\int_{E_l(Q)}A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}},1_S\sigma_2 1_Q)(x)v_{\vec{w}}dx\bigg)^p\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ \sum_{\substack{l\in\mathbb{Z}, Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l \\ v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))>\beta v_{\vec{w}}(Q)}} v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))^{1-p} \\
&\qquad\qquad \times\Big(\int_{E_l(Q)} A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\cap\Omega_{l+2}}, 1_S\sigma_2 1_Q)(x)v_{\vec{w}}dx\Big)^p \\
:=\ & J_1 + J_2.
\end{aligned}
$$

In the following, we also use the convention (ii) to omit $v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q)) > \beta v_{\vec{w}}(Q)$.

First, we estimate J_1. Let

$$
\mathcal{S}_l(Q) := \bigcup_{R\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2}} \{Q_{j,k}\in\mathcal{S} : R \subsetneq Q_{j,k} \subset Q\}, \qquad \text{if } \mathcal{Q}_{l+2}\neq\emptyset
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{S}_l(Q) := \{Q_{j,k}\in\mathcal{S} : Q_{j,k}\subset Q\}, \qquad \text{if } \mathcal{Q}_{l+2}=\emptyset.
$$

By Lemma 2.2, $\mathcal{S}_l(Q)$ is sparse. For big cubes $Q_{j,k}\supsetneq Q$ and $x\in E_l(Q)$,

$$
\sum_{Q_{j,k}\supsetneq Q} \frac{\int_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}} f_1\sigma_1 dx\cdot\sigma_2(Q)}{|Q_{j,k}|^2} \le \frac{\int_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}} f_1\sigma_1 dx\cdot\sigma_2(Q)}{|Q|^2}.
$$

Hence for $x\in E_l(Q)$, we have

$$
A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}}, \sigma_2 1_Q)(x) \le 2A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}_l(Q)}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}}, \sigma_2 1_Q)(x), \tag{3.7}
$$

where

$$
A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}_l(Q)}(f_1,f_2)(x) = \sum_{Q_{j,k}\in\mathcal{S}_l(Q)} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{2}\frac{1}{|Q_{j,k}|}\int_{Q_{j,k}} f_i(y_i)dy_i\Big)\chi_{Q_{j,k}}(x).
$$

Observe that $\mathcal{S}_l(Q)$ is an empty set if and only if $Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l\cap\mathcal{Q}_{l+2}$. When the condition is satisfied, we have

$$
A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}}, \sigma_2 1_Q)(x) = A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}_l(Q)}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}}, \sigma_2 1_Q)(x) = 0,
$$

which means that (3.7) is correct even if $\mathcal{S}_l(Q)$ is empty. So $\{\mathcal{S}_l(Q) : l\in 2\mathbb{Z}, Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l\}$ and $\{\mathcal{S}_l(Q) : l\in 2\mathbb{Z}+1, Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l\}$ are pairwise disjoint, respectively. Denote $\mathcal{S}_l(Q)=\{Q_{l,\eta}\}_\eta$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{E_l(Q)} A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}}, 1_S\sigma_2 1_Q)(x)v_{\vec{w}}dx \\
\lesssim\ & \int_{E_l(Q)} A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}_l(Q)}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}}, 1_S\sigma_2 1_Q)(x)v_{\vec{w}}dx \\
\le\ & \Big(\int_Q (A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}_l(Q)}(v_{\vec{w}}1_Q, \sigma_2 1_Q))^{p_1'}\sigma_1 dx\Big)^{1/p_1'}\cdot\Big(\int_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}} f_1^{p_1}\sigma_1\Big)^{1/p_1} \\
\lesssim\ & [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}^{1/p}\Big(\sum_{Q_{l,\eta}\in\mathcal{S}_l(Q)} v_{\vec{w}}(Q_{l,\eta})\Big)^{1/p'}\Big(\sum_{Q_{l,\eta}\in\mathcal{S}_l(Q)}\sigma_2(Q_{l,\eta})\Big)^{1/p_2} \\
&\qquad \times\Big(\int_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}} f_1^{p_1}\sigma_1\Big)^{1/p_1} \quad \text{(by Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.6)} \\
\le\ & [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}^{1/p}[v_{\vec{w}}]_{A_\infty}^{1/p'} v_{\vec{w}}(Q)^{1/p'}\Big(\sum_{Q_{l,\eta}\in\mathcal{S}_l(Q)}\sigma_2(Q_{l,\eta})\Big)^{1/p_2}\Big(\int_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}} f_1^{p_1}\sigma_1\Big)^{1/p_1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where Lemma 2.3 is used in the last step. Recall that we have the convention (3.2). By Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &= \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z},Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l}\bigg(\int_{E_l(Q)} A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}}, 1_S\sigma_2 1_Q)(x)v_{\vec{w}}dx\bigg)^p v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))^{1-p}\\
&\lesssim [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}[v_{\vec{w}}]_{A_\infty}^{p/p'}\bigg(\sum_{\substack{l\in\mathbb{Z}\\ Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l}}\sum_{Q_{l,\eta}\in\mathcal{S}_l(Q)}\sigma_2(Q_{l,\eta})\bigg)^{p/p_2}\bigg(\sum_{\substack{l\in\mathbb{Z}\\ Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l}}\int_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}} f_1^{p_1}\sigma_1\bigg)^{p/p_1}\\
&\le [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}[v_{\vec{w}}]_{A_\infty}^{p/p'}[\sigma_2]_{A_\infty}^{p/p_2}\sigma_2(S)^{p/p_2}\|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}(\sigma_1)}^p.
\end{aligned}$$

Next we estimate J_2. Since $E_l(Q)\subset\Omega_{l+2}^c$ for $R\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2}$ with $R\subset Q$, $A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(v_{\vec{w}}1_{E_l(Q)},\sigma_2 1_Q)(x)$ is a constant for $x\in R$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{E_l(Q)} A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\cap\Omega_{l+2}}, 1_S\sigma_2 1_Q)(x)v_{\vec{w}}dx\\
=\ &\sum_{\substack{R\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2}\\ R\subset Q}}\int_R A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(v_{\vec{w}}1_{E_l(Q)},\sigma_2 1_Q)(x)\sigma_1 dx\cdot\mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1}f_1\\
\le\ &16\sum_{\substack{R\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2},R\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1}f_1\le 16\mathbb{E}_{\Gamma(Q)}^{\sigma_1}f_1}}\int_R A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(v_{\vec{w}}1_{E_l(Q)},\sigma_2 1_Q)(x)\sigma_1 dx\cdot\mathbb{E}_{\Gamma(Q)}^{\sigma_1}f_1\\
&+\sum_{\substack{R\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2},R\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1}f_1> 16\mathbb{E}_{\Gamma(Q)}^{\sigma_1}f_1}}\int_R A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(v_{\vec{w}}1_{E_l(Q)},\sigma_2 1_Q)(x)\sigma_1 dx\cdot\mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1}f_1\\
\le\ &16\int_{E_l(Q)} A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(\sigma_1 1_{Q\cap\Omega_{l+2}},\sigma_2 1_Q)(x)v_{\vec{w}}dx\cdot\mathbb{E}_{\Gamma(Q)}^{\sigma_1}f_1\\
&+\sum_{\substack{R\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2},R\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1}f_1> 16\mathbb{E}_{\Gamma(Q)}^{\sigma_1}f_1}}\int_R A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(v_{\vec{w}}1_{E_l(Q)},\sigma_2 1_Q)(x)\sigma_1 dx\cdot\mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1}f_1. \qquad (3.8)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly to (3.7), for $x\in E_l(Q)$, we have

$$A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\cap\Omega_{l+2}},\sigma_2 1_Q)(x)\le 2A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}_l(Q)}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\cap\Omega_{l+2}},\sigma_2 1_Q)(x).$$

Consequently, by setting $\mathcal{S}(G)=\bigcup_{\substack{l\in\mathbb{Z},Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l\\ \Gamma(Q)=G}}\mathcal{S}_l(Q)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z},Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l}\bigg(\int_{E_l(Q)} A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(\sigma_1 1_{Q\cap\Omega_{l+2}},\sigma_2 1_Q)(x)v_{\vec{w}}dx\bigg)^p\\
&\qquad\cdot(\mathbb{E}_{\Gamma(Q)}^{\sigma_1}f_1)^p\cdot v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))^{1-p}\\
\lesssim\ &\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z},Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l}\int_{E_l(Q)}(A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}_l(Q)}(\sigma_1 1_{Q\cap\Omega_{l+2}},\sigma_2 1_Q))^p v_{\vec{w}}dx\cdot(\mathbb{E}_{\Gamma(Q)}^{\sigma_1}f_1)^p\\
=\ &\sum_{G\in\mathcal{G}}\sum_{\substack{l\in\mathbb{Z},Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l\\ \Gamma(Q)=G}}\int_{E_l(Q)}(A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}_l(Q)}(\sigma_1 1_{Q\cap\Omega_{l+2}},\sigma_2 1_Q))^p v_{\vec{w}}dx\cdot(\mathbb{E}_G^{\sigma_1}f_1)^p
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{G\in\mathcal{G}} \int_G (A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}(G)}(\sigma_1 1_G, \sigma_2 1_G))^p v_{\vec{w}}\,dx \cdot (\mathbb{E}_G^{\sigma_1} f_1)^p \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{(by the disjointness of } E_l(Q)\text{)} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{G\in\mathcal{G}} [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}} \Bigg(\sum_{\substack{l\in\mathbb{Z}, Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l \\ \Gamma(Q)=G}} \sum_{Q_{l,\eta}\in\mathcal{S}_l(Q)} \sigma_1(Q_{l,\eta}) \Bigg)^{p/p_1} \\
&\qquad \times \Bigg(\sum_{\substack{l\in\mathbb{Z}, Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l \\ \Gamma(Q)=G}} \sum_{Q_{l,\eta}\in\mathcal{S}_l(Q)} \sigma_2(Q_{l,\eta}) \Bigg)^{p/p_2} \cdot (\mathbb{E}_G^{\sigma_1} f_1)^p \quad \text{(by Lemma 2.4)} \\
&\leq [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}} \Bigg(\sum_{G\in\mathcal{G}} \sum_{\substack{l\in\mathbb{Z}, Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l \\ \Gamma(Q)=G}} \sum_{Q_{l,\eta}\in\mathcal{S}_l(Q)} \sigma_1(Q_{l,\eta}) \cdot (\mathbb{E}_G^{\sigma_1} f_1)^{p_1} \Bigg)^{p/p_1} \\
&\qquad \times \Bigg(\sum_{G\in\mathcal{G}} \sum_{\substack{l\in\mathbb{Z}, Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l \\ \Gamma(Q)=G}} \sum_{Q_{l,\eta}\in\mathcal{S}_l(Q)} \sigma_2(Q_{l,\eta}) \Bigg)^{p/p_2} \\
&\lesssim [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}} \Bigg(\sum_{G\in\mathcal{G}} \int_G M(\sigma_1 1_G) \cdot (\mathbb{E}_G^{\sigma_1} f_1)^{p_1} \Bigg)^{p/p_1} \cdot \Bigg(\int_S M(\sigma_2 1_S) \Bigg)^{p/p_2} \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{(by Lemma 2.3)} \\
&\leq [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}} [\sigma_1]_{A_\infty}^{p/p_1} [\sigma_2]_{A_\infty}^{p/p_2} \sigma_2(S)^{p/p_2} \cdot \Bigg(\sum_{G\in\mathcal{G}} \sigma_1(G) \cdot (\mathbb{E}_G^{\sigma_1} f_1)^{p_1} \Bigg)^{p/p_1} \\
&\lesssim [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}} [\sigma_1]_{A_\infty}^{p/p_1} [\sigma_2]_{A_\infty}^{p/p_2} \sigma_2(S)^{p/p_2} \cdot \|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}(\sigma_1)}^p, \qquad (3.9)
\end{aligned}
$$

where (3.5) is used in the last step.

For the $\mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1} f_1 > 16\mathbb{E}_{\Gamma(Q)}^{\sigma_1} f_1$ part, by Hölder's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{R\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2}, R\subset Q \\ \mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1} f_1 > 16\mathbb{E}_{\Gamma(Q)}^{\sigma_1} f_1}} \int_R A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(v_{\vec{w}} 1_{E_l(Q)}, \sigma_2 1_Q)(x)\sigma_1\,dx \cdot \mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1} f_1 \\
\leq\ & \Bigg(\sum_{\substack{R\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2}, R\subset Q \\ \mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1} f_1 > 16\mathbb{E}_{\Gamma(Q)}^{\sigma_1} f_1}} \sigma_1(R)^{-p_1'/p_1} \Bigg(\int_R A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(v_{\vec{w}} 1_{E_l(Q)}, \sigma_2 1_Q)(x)\sigma_1\,dx \Bigg)^{p_1'} \Bigg)^{1/p_1'} \\
&\quad \times \Bigg(\sum_{\substack{R\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2}, R\subset Q \\ \mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1} f_1 > 16\mathbb{E}_{\Gamma(Q)}^{\sigma_1} f_1}} \sigma_1(R)(\mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1} f_1)^{p_1} \Bigg)^{1/p_1} \\
\leq\ & \Bigg(\int_{Q\cap\Omega_{l+2}} (A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(v_{\vec{w}} 1_{E_l(Q)}, \sigma_2 1_Q))^{p_1'} \sigma_1\,dx \Bigg)^{1/p_1'} \\
&\quad \times \Bigg(\sum_{\substack{R\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2}, R\subset Q \\ \mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1} f_1 > 16\mathbb{E}_{\Gamma(Q)}^{\sigma_1} f_1}} \sigma_1(R)(\mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1} f_1)^{p_1} \Bigg)^{1/p_1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim \Big(\int_{Q\cap\Omega_{l+2}} (A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}_l(Q)}(v_{\vec{w}}1_{E_l(Q)},\sigma_2 1_Q))^{p_1'}\sigma_1 dx\Big)^{1/p_1'} \\
&\qquad \times\Big(\sum_{\substack{R\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2},R\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1}f_1>16\mathbb{E}_{\Gamma(Q)}^{\sigma_1}f_1}} \sigma_1(R)(\mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1}f_1)^{p_1}\Big)^{1/p_1} \\
&\lesssim [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}^{1/p}\Big(\sum_{Q_{l,\eta}\in\mathcal{S}_l(Q)} v_{\vec{w}}(Q_{l,\eta})\Big)^{1/p'}\cdot\Big(\sum_{Q_{l,\eta}\in\mathcal{S}_l(Q)}\sigma_2(Q_{l,\eta})\Big)^{1/p_2} \\
&\qquad \times\Big(\sum_{\substack{R\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2},R\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1}f_1>16\mathbb{E}_{\Gamma(Q)}^{\sigma_1}f_1}} \sigma_1(R)(\mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1}f_1)^{p_1}\Big)^{1/p_1} \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{(by Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.6)} \\
&\lesssim [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}^{1/p}[v_{\vec{w}}]_{A_\infty}^{1/p'} v_{\vec{w}}(Q)^{1/p'}\cdot\Big(\sum_{Q_{l,\eta}\in\mathcal{S}_l(Q)}\sigma_2(Q_{l,\eta})\Big)^{1/p_2} \\
&\qquad \times\Big(\sum_{\substack{R\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2},R\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1}f_1>16\mathbb{E}_{\Gamma(Q)}^{\sigma_1}f_1}} \sigma_1(R)(\mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1}f_1)^{p_1}\Big)^{1/p_1},
\end{aligned}$$

where Lemma 2.3 is used in the last step. It follows from (3.2) and Hölder's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z},Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l}\Big(\sum_{\substack{R\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2},R\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1}f_1>16\mathbb{E}_{\Gamma(Q)}^{\sigma_1}f_1}} \int_R A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(v_{\vec{w}}1_{E_l(Q)},\sigma_2 1_Q)(x)\sigma_1 dx\cdot\mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1}f_1\Big)^p v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))^{1-p} \\
&\lesssim [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}[v_{\vec{w}}]_{A_\infty}^{p/p'}\cdot\Big(\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z},Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l}\sum_{Q_{l,\eta}\in\mathcal{S}_l(Q)}\sigma_2(Q_{l,\eta})\Big)^{p/p_2} \\
&\qquad \times\Big(\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z},Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l}\sum_{\substack{R\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2},R\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1}f_1>16\mathbb{E}_{\Gamma(Q)}^{\sigma_1}f_1}} \sigma_1(R)(\mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1}f_1)^{p_1}\Big)^{p/p_1} \\
&\lesssim [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}[v_{\vec{w}}]_{A_\infty}^{p/p'}[\sigma_2]_{A_\infty}^{p/p_2}\sigma_2(S)^{p/p_2}\|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}(\sigma_1)}^p, \qquad (3.10)
\end{aligned}$$

where Lemma 2.3 and (3.3) are used in the last step.

Putting (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10) together, we get

$$J_2 \le [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}([v_{\vec{w}}]_{A_\infty}^{p/p'}+[\sigma_1]_{A_\infty}^{p/p_1})[\sigma_2]_{A_\infty}^{p/p_2}\sigma_2(S)^{p/p_2}\|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}(\sigma_1)}^p.$$

This completes the proof. □

Now we continue to prove Theorem 1.1.

3.1 Estimate of I_1

In this subsection, we consider I_1. we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{E_l(Q)} A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}}, f_2\sigma_2 1_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}})(x)v_{\vec{w}}dx\\
=\ &\int_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}} A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}}, v_{\vec{w}} 1_{E_l(Q)})(x)f_2\sigma_2 dx\\
\leq\ &\Big(\int_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}} (A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}}, v_{\vec{w}} 1_Q))^{p_2'}\sigma_2 dx\Big)^{1/p_2'}\cdot\Big(\int_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}} f_2^{p_2}\sigma_2\Big)^{1/p_2}\\
\lesssim\ &[\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}^{1/p}[v_{\vec{w}}]_{A_\infty}^{1/p'}([\sigma_1]_{A_\infty}^{1/p_1}+[\sigma_2]_{A_\infty}^{1/p_2})\cdot v_{\vec{w}}(Q)^{1/p'}\\
&\quad\times\Big(\int_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}} f_1^{p_1}\sigma_1\Big)^{1/p_1}\cdot\Big(\int_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}} f_2^{p_2}\sigma_2\Big)^{1/p_2},
\end{aligned}$$

where Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6 are used. By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1\ \lesssim\ &[\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}[v_{\vec{w}}]_{A_\infty}^{p/p'}([\sigma_1]_{A_\infty}^{1/p_1}+[\sigma_2]_{A_\infty}^{1/p_2})^p\Big(\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z},Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l}\int_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}} f_1^{p_1}\sigma_1\Big)^{p/p_1}\\
&\quad\times\Big(\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z},Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l}\int_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}} f_2^{p_2}\sigma_1\Big)^{p/p_2}\\
\leq\ &[\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}[v_{\vec{w}}]_{A_\infty}^{p/p'}([\sigma_1]_{A_\infty}^{1/p_1}+[\sigma_2]_{A_\infty}^{1/p_2})^p\|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}(\sigma_1)}^p\cdot\|f_2\|_{L^{p_2}(\sigma_2)}^p.
\end{aligned}$$

3.2 Estimates of I_2 and I_3

In this subsection, we estimate I_2 and I_3. Since they are similar, we only estimate I_2 and the other one can be estimated similarly by the symmetry. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{E_l(Q)} A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}}, f_2\sigma_2 1_{Q\cap\Omega_{l+2}})(x)v_{\vec{w}}dx\\
=\ &\int_{Q\cap\Omega_{l+2}} A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}}, v_{\vec{w}} 1_{E_l(Q)})(x)f_2\sigma_2 dx\\
=\ &\sum_{\substack{\tilde{R}\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2}\\ \tilde{R}\subset Q}}\int_{\tilde{R}} A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}}, v_{\vec{w}} 1_{E_l(Q)})(x)f_2\sigma_2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $E_l(Q)\subset\Omega_{l+2}^c$ and $\tilde{R}\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2}$, $A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}}, v_{\vec{w}} 1_{E_l(Q)})(x)$ is a constant for $x\in\tilde{R}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{E_l(Q)} A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}}, f_2\sigma_2 1_{Q\cap\Omega_{l+2}})(x)v_{\vec{w}}dx\\
=\ &\sum_{\substack{\tilde{R}\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2}\\ \tilde{R}\subset Q}}\int_{\tilde{R}} A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}}, v_{\vec{w}} 1_{E_l(Q)})(x)\sigma_2 dx\cdot\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{R}}^{\sigma_2} f_2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\leq\ & 16 \sum_{\substack{\tilde{R}\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2},\tilde{R}\subset Q \\ \mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{R}} f_2 \leq 16\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{\Gamma}(Q)} f_2}} \int_R A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}}, v_{\vec{w}} 1_{E_l(Q)})(x)\sigma_2 dx \cdot \mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{\Gamma}(Q)} f_2 \\ & + \sum_{\substack{\tilde{R}\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2},\tilde{R}\subset Q \\ \mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{R}} f_2 > 16\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{\Gamma}(Q)} f_2}} \int_R A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}}, v_{\vec{w}} 1_{E_l(Q)})(x)\sigma_2 dx \cdot \mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{R}} f_2,\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

where $\tilde{\Gamma}(Q)$ are the principal cubes with respect to f_2 and σ_2.

3.2.1 The part with $\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{R}} f_2 \leq 16\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{\Gamma}(Q)} f_2$

For this part, we have

$$\begin{aligned}& \sum_{\substack{\tilde{R}\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2},\tilde{R}\subset Q \\ \mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{R}} f_2 \leq 16\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{\Gamma}(Q)} f_2}} \int_{\tilde{R}} A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}}, v_{\vec{w}} 1_{E_l(Q)})(x)\sigma_2 dx \cdot \mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{\Gamma}(Q)} f_2 \\ & \leq \int_Q A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}}, v_{\vec{w}} 1_{E_l(Q)})(x)\sigma_2 dx \cdot \mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{\Gamma}(Q)} f_2.\end{aligned}$$

For $\tilde{G}\in\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is the set consisting of principal cubes with respect to f_2 and σ_2, set

$$g_1(x) = \sum_{\substack{l\in\mathbb{Z},Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l \\ \tilde{\Gamma}(Q)=\tilde{G}}} f_1(x) 1_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}}(x).$$

Then by the disjointness of $E_l(Q)$ in $l\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l$,

$$\begin{aligned}& \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z},Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l} \Bigg(\sum_{\substack{\tilde{R}\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2},\tilde{R}\subset Q \\ \mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{R}} f_2 \leq 16\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{\Gamma}(Q)} f_2}} \int_{\tilde{R}} A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}}, v_{\vec{w}} 1_{E_l(Q)})(x)\sigma_2 dx \\ & \qquad \cdot \mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{\Gamma}(Q)} f_2 \Bigg)^p \cdot v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))^{1-p} \\ \leq\ & \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z},Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l} v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))^{1-p} \left(\int_Q A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}}, v_{\vec{w}} 1_{E_l(Q)})(x)\sigma_2 dx \right)^p \\ & \qquad \times \left(\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{\Gamma}(Q)} f_2 \right)^p \\ \leq\ & \sum_{\tilde{G}\in\tilde{\mathcal{G}}} \sum_{\substack{l\in\mathbb{Z},Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l \\ \tilde{\Gamma}(Q)=\tilde{G}}} \int_{E_l(Q)} (A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}}, \sigma_2 1_Q)(x))^p v_{\vec{w}} dx \cdot (\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{\Gamma}(Q)} f_2)^p \\ \leq\ & \sum_{\tilde{G}\in\tilde{\mathcal{G}}} \int_{\tilde{G}} (A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(g_1\sigma_1, \sigma_2 1_{\tilde{G}})(x))^p v_{\vec{w}} dx \cdot (\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{G}} f_2)^p \\ \lesssim\ & [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}} [\sigma_2]^{p/p_2}_{A_\infty} ([\sigma_1]^{1/p_1}_{A_\infty} + [v_{\vec{w}}]^{1/p'}_{A_\infty})^p \sum_{\tilde{G}\in\tilde{\mathcal{G}}} \left(\int g_1^{p_1}\sigma_1 \right)^{p/p_1} \sigma_2(\tilde{G})^{p/p_2} (\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{G}} f_2)^p\end{aligned}$$

(be Lemma 2.5)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\le [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}[\sigma_2]_{A_\infty}^{p/p_2}([\sigma_1]_{A_\infty}^{1/p_1}+[v_{\vec{w}}]_{A_\infty}^{1/p'})^p\Big(\sum_{\tilde{G}\in\tilde{\mathcal{G}}}\int g_1^{p_1}\sigma_1\Big)^{p/p_1}\\
&\qquad\times\Big(\sum_{\tilde{G}\in\tilde{\mathcal{G}}}\sigma_2(\tilde{G})(\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{G}}f_2)^{p_2}\Big)^{p/p_2}\\
&\le [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}[\sigma_2]_{A_\infty}^{p/p_2}([\sigma_1]_{A_\infty}^{1/p_1}+[v_{\vec{w}}]_{A_\infty}^{1/p'})^p\|f_1\|^p_{L^{p_1}(\sigma_1)}\cdot\|f_2\|^p_{L^{p_2}(\sigma_2)},
\end{aligned}\tag{3.12}$$

where (3.6) is used in the last step.

3.2.2 The part with $\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{R}}f_2>16\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{\Gamma}(Q)}f_2$

By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{\tilde{R}\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2},\tilde{R}\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{R}}f_2>16\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{\Gamma}(Q)}f_2}}\int_{\tilde{R}}A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}},v_{\vec{w}}1_{E_l(Q)})(x)\sigma_2dx\cdot\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{R}}f_2\\
\le\;&\Big(\sum_{\substack{\tilde{R}\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2}\\ \tilde{R}\subset Q}}\sigma_2(\tilde{R})^{-p_2'/p_2}\Big(\int_{\tilde{R}}A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}},v_{\vec{w}}1_{E_l(Q)})(x)\sigma_2dx\Big)^{p_2'}\Big)^{1/p_2'}\\
&\cdot\Big(\sum_{\substack{\tilde{R}\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2},\tilde{R}\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{R}}f_2>16\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{\Gamma}(Q)}f_2}}\sigma_2(\tilde{R})(\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{R}}f_2)^{p_2}\Big)^{1/p_2}\\
\le\;&\Big(\int_{Q\cap\Omega_{l+2}}(A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}},v_{\vec{w}}1_{E_l(Q)}))^{p_2'}\sigma_2dx\Big)^{1/p_2'}\\
&\cdot\Big(\sum_{\substack{\tilde{R}\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2},\tilde{R}\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{R}}f_2>16\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{\Gamma}(Q)}f_2}}\sigma_2(\tilde{R})(\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{R}}f_2)^{p_2}\Big)^{1/p_2}\\
\lesssim\;&[\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}^{1/p}[v_{\vec{w}}]_{A_\infty}^{1/p'}([\sigma_1]_{A_\infty}^{1/p_1}+[\sigma_2]_{A_\infty}^{1/p_2})\Big(\int_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}}f_1^{p_1}\sigma_1\Big)^{1/p_1}v_{\vec{w}}(Q)^{1/p'}\\
&\cdot\Big(\sum_{\substack{\tilde{R}\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2},\tilde{R}\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{R}}f_2>16\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{\Gamma}(Q)}f_2}}\sigma_2(\tilde{R})(\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{R}}f_2)^{p_2}\Big)^{1/p_2},
\end{aligned}$$

where, again, Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6 are used in the last step. Therefore, by Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z},Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l}\Big(\sum_{\substack{\tilde{R}\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2},\tilde{R}\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{R}}f_2>16\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{\Gamma}(Q)}f_2}}\int_{\tilde{R}}A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}},v_{\vec{w}}1_{E_l(Q)})(x)\sigma_2dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\quad \cdot \mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{R}} f_2\Big)^p \cdot v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))^{1-p}\\
&\lesssim [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}[v_{\vec{w}}]^{p/p'}_{A_\infty}([\sigma_1]^{1/p_1}_{A_\infty}+[\sigma_2]^{1/p_2}_{A_\infty})^p\Big(\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z},Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l}\int_{Q\setminus\Omega_{l+2}} f_1^{p_1}\sigma_1\Big)^{p/p_1}\\
&\quad \cdot\Big(\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z},Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l}\sum_{\substack{\tilde{R}\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2},\tilde{R}\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{R}} f_2>16\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{\Gamma}(Q)} f_2}} \sigma_2(\tilde{R})(\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{R}} f_2)^{p_2}\Big)^{p/p_2}\\
&\leq [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}[v_{\vec{w}}]^{p/p'}_{A_\infty}([\sigma_1]^{1/p_1}_{A_\infty}+[\sigma_2]^{1/p_2}_{A_\infty})^p\|f_1\|^p_{L^{p_1}(\sigma_1)}\cdot\|f_2\|^p_{L^{p_2}(\sigma_2)}, \qquad (3.13)
\end{aligned}$$

where (3.4) is used in the last step.

Combining (3.11), (3.12) and (3.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &\lesssim [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}\Big([\sigma_1]^{p/p_1}_{A_\infty}[\sigma_2]^{p/p_2}_{A_\infty}+[v_{\vec{w}}]^{p/p'}_{A_\infty}([\sigma_1]^{p/p_1}_{A_\infty}+[\sigma_2]^{p/p_2}_{A_\infty})\Big)\\
&\qquad \times\|f_1\|^p_{L^{p_1}(\sigma_1)}\cdot\|f_2\|^p_{L^{p_2}(\sigma_2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

By symmetry, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 &\lesssim [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}\Big([\sigma_1]^{p/p_1}_{A_\infty}[\sigma_2]^{p/p_2}_{A_\infty}+[v_{\vec{w}}]^{p/p'}_{A_\infty}([\sigma_1]^{p/p_1}_{A_\infty}+[\sigma_2]^{p/p_2}_{A_\infty})\Big)\\
&\qquad \times\|f_1\|^p_{L^{p_1}(\sigma_1)}\cdot\|f_2\|^p_{L^{p_2}(\sigma_2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

3.3 Estimate of I_4

Similarly to the previous arguments, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{E_l(Q)} A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(f_1\sigma_1 1_{Q\cap\Omega_{l+2}}, f_2\sigma_2 1_{Q\cap\Omega_{l+2}})(x)v_{\vec{w}}dx\\
&= \sum_{\substack{R\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2}\\ R\subset Q}}\sum_{\substack{\tilde{R}\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2}\\ \tilde{R}\subset Q}}\int_{\tilde{R}} A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(v_{\vec{w}}1_{E_l(Q)},\sigma_1 1_R)(x)\sigma_2 dx\cdot\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_1}_R f_1\cdot\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{R}} f_2\\
&\leq \sum_{\substack{R\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2},R\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}^{\sigma_1}_R f_1\leq 16\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_1}_{\Gamma(Q)} f_1}}\sum_{\substack{\tilde{R}\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2},\tilde{R}\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{R}} f_2\leq 16\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{\Gamma}(Q)} f_2}}\int_{\tilde{R}} A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(v_{\vec{w}}1_{E_l(Q)},\sigma_1 1_R)(x)\sigma_2 dx\\
&\qquad \times\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_1}_R f_1\cdot\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{R}} f_2\\
&\quad +\sum_{\substack{R\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2},R\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}^{\sigma_1}_R f_1\leq 16\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_1}_{\Gamma(Q)} f_1}}\sum_{\substack{\tilde{R}\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2},\tilde{R}\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{R}} f_2> 16\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{\Gamma}(Q)} f_2}}\int_{\tilde{R}} A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(v_{\vec{w}}1_{E_l(Q)},\sigma_1 1_R)(x)\sigma_2 dx\\
&\qquad \times\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_1}_R f_1\cdot\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{R}} f_2\\
&\quad +\sum_{\substack{R\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2},R\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}^{\sigma_1}_R f_1> 16\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_1}_{\Gamma(Q)} f_1}}\sum_{\substack{\tilde{R}\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2},\tilde{R}\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{R}} f_2\leq 16\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{\Gamma}(Q)} f_2}}\int_{\tilde{R}} A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(v_{\vec{w}}1_{E_l(Q)},\sigma_1 1_R)(x)\sigma_2 dx
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\qquad \times \mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1} f_1 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{R}}^{\sigma_2} f_2 \\
&+ \sum_{\substack{R \in \mathscr{Q}_{l+2}, R \subset Q \\ \mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1} f_1 > 16 \mathbb{E}_{\Gamma(Q)}^{\sigma_1} f_1}} \sum_{\substack{\tilde{R} \in \mathscr{Q}_{l+2}, \tilde{R} \subset Q \\ \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{R}}^{\sigma_2} f_2 > 16 \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{\Gamma}(Q)}^{\sigma_2} f_2}} \int_{\tilde{R}} A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(v_{\vec{w}} 1_{E_l(Q)}, \sigma_1 1_R)(x) \sigma_2 dx \\
&\qquad \times \mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1} f_1 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{R}}^{\sigma_2} f_2 \\
:= \;& I_{41}(l,Q) + I_{42}(l,Q) + I_{43}(l,Q) + I_{44}(l,Q).
\end{aligned}
$$

3.3.1 Estimate of I_{41}

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{R \in \mathscr{Q}_{l+2}, R \subset Q \\ \mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1} f_1 \le 16 \mathbb{E}_{\Gamma(Q)}^{\sigma_1} f_1}} \sum_{\substack{\tilde{R} \in \mathscr{Q}_{l+2}, \tilde{R} \subset Q \\ \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{R}}^{\sigma_2} f_2 \le 16 \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{\Gamma}(Q)}^{\sigma_2} f_2}} \int_{\tilde{R}} A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(v_{\vec{w}} 1_{E_l(Q)}, \sigma_1 1_R)(x) \sigma_2 dx \\
&\qquad \times \mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1} f_1 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{R}}^{\sigma_2} f_2 \\
\le \;& 16^2 \int_{Q \cap \Omega_{l+2}} A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(v_{\vec{w}} 1_{E_l(Q)}, \sigma_1 1_{Q \cap \Omega_{l+2}})(x) \sigma_2 dx \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\Gamma(Q)}^{\sigma_1} f_1 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{\Gamma}(Q)}^{\sigma_2} f_2 \\
\le \;& 16^2 \int_{E_l(Q)} A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(\sigma_1 1_Q, \sigma_2 1_Q)(x) v_{\vec{w}} dx \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\Gamma(Q)}^{\sigma_1} f_1 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{\Gamma}(Q)}^{\sigma_2} f_2.
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly to (3.7), for $x \in E_l(Q)$, we have

$$
A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(\sigma_1 1_Q, \sigma_2 1_Q)(x) \le 2 A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}_l(Q)}(\sigma_1 1_Q, \sigma_2 1_Q)(x).
$$

By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{Q \in \mathscr{Q}_l} v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))^{1-p} I_{41}(l,Q)^p \\
\le \;& \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{Q \in \mathscr{Q}_l} v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))^{1-p} \Big(\int_{E_l(Q)} A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(\sigma_1 1_Q, \sigma_2 1_Q)(x) v_{\vec{w}} dx \Big)^p \\
&\quad \cdot (\mathbb{E}_{\Gamma(Q)}^{\sigma_1} f_1 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{\Gamma}(Q)}^{\sigma_2} f_2)^p \\
\lesssim \;& \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{Q \in \mathscr{Q}_l} v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))^{1-p} \Big(\int_{E_l(Q)} A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}_l(Q)}(\sigma_1 1_Q, \sigma_2 1_Q)(x) v_{\vec{w}} dx \Big)^p \\
&\quad \cdot (\mathbb{E}_{\Gamma(Q)}^{\sigma_1} f_1 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{\Gamma}(Q)}^{\sigma_2} f_2)^p \\
\le \;& \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{Q \in \mathscr{Q}_l} \int_{E_l(Q)} (A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}_l(Q)}(\sigma_1 1_Q, \sigma_2 1_Q))^p v_{\vec{w}} dx \cdot (\mathbb{E}_{\Gamma(Q)}^{\sigma_1} f_1 \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{\Gamma}(Q)}^{\sigma_2} f_2)^p \\
\lesssim \;& [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{p}}} \Big(\sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}} \sum_{\substack{l \in \mathbb{Z}, Q \in \mathscr{Q}_l \\ \Gamma(Q) = G}} \sum_{Q_{l,\eta} \in \mathcal{S}_l(Q)} \sigma_1(Q_{l,\eta}) (\mathbb{E}_G^{\sigma_1} f_1)^{p_1} \Big)^{p/p_1} \\
&\quad \cdot \Big(\sum_{\tilde{G} \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}} \sum_{\substack{l \in \mathbb{Z}, Q \in \mathscr{Q}_l \\ \tilde{\Gamma}(Q) = \tilde{G}}} \sum_{Q_{l,\eta} \in \mathcal{S}_l(Q)} \sigma_2(Q_{l,\eta}) (\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{G}}^{\sigma_2} f_2)^{p_2} \Big)^{p/p_2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\qquad\qquad\qquad\text{(by Lemma 2.4 and Hölder's inequality)}\\ \leq\;& [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{p}}}[\sigma_1]_{A_\infty}^{p/p_1}[\sigma_2]_{A_\infty}^{p/p_2}\Bigg(\sum_{G\in\mathcal{G}}\sigma_1(G)(\mathbb{E}_G^{\sigma_1}f_1)^{p_1}\Bigg)^{p/p_1}\\ &\times\Bigg(\sum_{\tilde{G}\in\tilde{\mathcal{G}}}\sigma_2(\tilde{G})(\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{G}}^{\sigma_2}f_2)^{p_2}\Bigg)^{p/p_2}\quad\text{(by Lemma 2.3)}\\ \lesssim\;& [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{p}}}[\sigma_1]_{A_\infty}^{p/p_1}[\sigma_2]_{A_\infty}^{p/p_2}\|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}(\sigma_1)}^p\|f_2\|_{L^{p_2}(\sigma_2)}^p,\end{aligned}$$

where (3.5) and (3.6) are used in the last step.

3.3.2 Estimates of I_{42} and I_{43}

We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\substack{R\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2},R\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1}f_1\leq 16\mathbb{E}_{\Gamma(Q)}^{\sigma_1}f_1}}\;\sum_{\substack{\tilde{R}\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2},\tilde{R}\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{R}}^{\sigma_2}f_2>16\mathbb{E}_{\Gamma(Q)}^{\sigma_2}f_2}}\int_{\tilde{R}}A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(v_{\vec{w}}1_{E_l(Q)},\sigma_1 1_R)(x)\sigma_2dx\cdot\mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1}f_1\cdot\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{R}}^{\sigma_2}f_2\\ \leq\;&\sum_{\substack{\tilde{R}\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2},\tilde{R}\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{R}}^{\sigma_2}f_2>16\mathbb{E}_{\Gamma(Q)}^{\sigma_2}f_2}}\int_{\tilde{R}}A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(v_{\vec{w}}1_{E_l(Q)},\sigma_1 1_Q)(x)\sigma_2dx\cdot\mathbb{E}_{\Gamma(Q)}^{\sigma_1}f_1\cdot\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{R}}^{\sigma_2}f_2.\end{aligned}$$

For simplicity, set

$$g_Q:=\sum_{\substack{\tilde{R}\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2},\tilde{R}\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{R}}^{\sigma_2}f_2>16\mathbb{E}_{\Gamma(Q)}^{\sigma_2}f_2}}\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{R}}^{\sigma_2}f_2 1_{\tilde{R}}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z},Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l}v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))^{1-p}\Bigg(\int_{E_l(Q)}A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(\sigma_1 1_Q,g_Q\sigma_2)(x)v_{\vec{w}}dx\cdot\mathbb{E}_{\Gamma(Q)}^{\sigma_1}f_1\Bigg)^p\\ =\;&\sum_{G\in\mathcal{G}}\sum_{\substack{l\in\mathbb{Z},Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l\\ \Gamma(Q)=G}}v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))^{1-p}\Bigg(\int_{E_l(Q)}A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(\sigma_1 1_Q,g_Q\sigma_2)(x)v_{\vec{w}}dx\cdot\mathbb{E}_G^{\sigma_1}f_1\Bigg)^p.\end{aligned}$$

Set

$$h_G:=\sup_{\substack{Q:Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l,l\in\mathbb{Z}\\ \Gamma(Q)=G}}g_Q.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{G\in\mathcal{G}}\|h_G\|_{L^{p_2}(\sigma_2)}^{p_2}&=\sum_{G\in\mathcal{G}}\int h_G^{p_2}\sigma_2\\ &\leq\sum_{G\in\mathcal{G}}\sum_{\substack{Q:Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l,l\in\mathbb{Z}\\ \Gamma(Q)=G}}\int g_Q^{p_2}\sigma_2\\ &=\sum_{G\in\mathcal{G}}\sum_{\substack{Q:Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l,l\in\mathbb{Z}\\ \Gamma(Q)=G}}\sum_{\substack{\tilde{R}\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2},\tilde{R}\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{R}}^{\sigma_2}f_2>16\mathbb{E}_{\Gamma(Q)}^{\sigma_2}f_2}}(\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{R}}^{\sigma_2}f_2)^{p_2}\sigma_2(\tilde{R})\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z},Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l} \sum_{\substack{\tilde{R}\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2},\tilde{R}\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{R}}f_2>16\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\Gamma(Q)}f_2}} (\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{R}}f_2)^{p_2}\sigma_2(\tilde{R})\\
&\le \|f_2\|^{p_2}_{L^{p_2}(\sigma_2)}. \quad \text{(by (3.4))}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $E_l(Q)$ are disjoint, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l} v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))^{1-p} I_{42}(l,Q)^p\\
\le\ & \sum_{G\in\mathcal{G}} \sum_{\substack{l\in\mathbb{Z},Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l\\ \Gamma(Q)=G}} v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))^{1-p}\bigg(\int_{E_l(Q)} A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(\sigma_1 1_Q, g_Q\sigma_2)(x)v_{\vec{w}}dx\cdot \mathbb{E}^{\sigma_1}_G f_1\bigg)^p\\
\le\ & \sum_{G\in\mathcal{G}} \sum_{\substack{l\in\mathbb{Z},Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l\\ \Gamma(Q)=G}} \int_{E_l(Q)} (A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(\sigma_1 1_G, h_G\sigma_2))^p v_{\vec{w}}dx\cdot (\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_1}_G f_1)^p\\
\le\ & \sum_{G\in\mathcal{G}} \int_G (A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(\sigma_1 1_G, h_G\sigma_2))^p v_{\vec{w}}dx\cdot (\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_1}_G f_1)^p\\
\lesssim\ & [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}[\sigma_1]^{p/p_1}_{A_\infty}([v_{\vec{w}}]^{1/p'}_{A_\infty}+[\sigma_2]^{1/p_2}_{A_\infty})^p \sum_{G\in\mathcal{G}} \sigma_1(G)^{p/p_1}(\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_1}_G f_1)^p\|h_G\|^p_{L^{p_2}(\sigma_2)}\\
& \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{(by Lemma 2.5)}\\
\le\ & [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}[\sigma_1]^{p/p_1}_{A_\infty}([v_{\vec{w}}]^{1/p'}_{A_\infty}+[\sigma_2]^{1/p_2}_{A_\infty})^p \|f_1\|^p_{L^{p_1}(\sigma_1)}\bigg(\sum_{G\in\mathcal{G}}\|h_G\|^{p_2}_{L^{p_2}(\sigma_2)}\bigg)^{p/p_2}\\
& \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{(by Hölder's inequality and (3.5))}\\
\le\ & [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}[\sigma_1]^{p/p_1}_{A_\infty}([v_{\vec{w}}]^{1/p'}_{A_\infty}+[\sigma_2]^{1/p_2}_{A_\infty})^p \|f_1\|^p_{L^{p_1}(\sigma_1)}\cdot\|f_2\|^p_{L^{p_2}(\sigma_2)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

By symmetry, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l} v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))^{1-p} I_{43}(l,Q)^p \quad &\lesssim\quad [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}[\sigma_2]^{p/p_2}_{A_\infty}([v_{\vec{w}}]^{1/p'}_{A_\infty}+[\sigma_1]^{1/p_1}_{A_\infty})^p\\
&\qquad\qquad \times\|f_1\|^p_{L^{p_1}(\sigma_1)}\cdot\|f_2\|^p_{L^{p_2}(\sigma_2)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

3.3.3 Estimate of I_{44}

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{R\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2},R\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}^{\sigma_1}_R f_1>16\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_1}_{\Gamma(Q)}f_1}} \sum_{\substack{\tilde{R}\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2},\tilde{R}\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{R}}f_2>16\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\Gamma(Q)}f_2}} \int_{\tilde{R}} A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(v_{\vec{w}}1_{E_l(Q)},\sigma_1 1_R)(x)\sigma_2 dx\cdot\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_1}_R f_1\cdot\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\tilde{R}}f_2\\
\le\ & \bigg(\sum_{\substack{R\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2},R\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}^{\sigma_1}_R f_1>16\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_1}_{\Gamma(Q)}f_1}} \sigma_1(R)^{-p_1'/p_1}\bigg(\int_R A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(v_{\vec{w}}1_{E_l(Q)}, g_Q\sigma_2)(x)\sigma_1 dx\bigg)^{p_1'}\bigg)^{1/p_1'}\\
&\quad\cdot\bigg(\sum_{\substack{R\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2},R\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}^{\sigma_1}_R f_1>16\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_1}_{\Gamma(Q)}f_1}} \sigma_1(R)(\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_1}_R f_1)^{p_1}\bigg)^{1/p_1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\le \left(\int_Q (A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(v_{\vec{w}}1_{E_l(Q)}, g_Q\sigma_2))^{p_1'}\sigma_1 dx\right)^{1/p_1'} \Bigg(\sum_{\substack{R\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2}, R\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1}f_1>16\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_1}_{\Gamma(Q)}f_1}} \sigma_1(R)(\mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1}f_1)^{p_1}\Bigg)^{1/p_1}\\
&\lesssim [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}^{1/p}[v_{\vec{w}}]_{A_\infty}^{1/p'}([\sigma_1]_{A_\infty}^{1/p_1}+[\sigma_2]_{A_\infty}^{1/p_2})v_{\vec{w}}(Q)^{1/p'}\|g_Q\|_{L^{p_2}(\sigma_2)}\\
&\qquad\cdot\Bigg(\sum_{\substack{R\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2}, R\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1}f_1>16\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_1}_{\Gamma(Q)}f_1}} \sigma_1(R)(\mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1}f_1)^{p_1}\Bigg)^{1/p_1},
\end{aligned}$$

where Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6 are used in the last step. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l} v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))^{1-p} I_{44}(l,Q)^p\\
=\;& \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l} v_{\vec{w}}(E_l(Q))^{1-p}\Bigg(\sum_{\substack{R\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2}, R\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1}f_1>16\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_1}_{\Gamma(Q)}f_1}}\;\sum_{\substack{\tilde{R}\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2}, \tilde{R}\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{R}}^{\sigma_2}f_2>16\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\Gamma(Q)}f_2}} \mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1}f_1\cdot\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{R}}^{\sigma_2}f_2\\
&\qquad\int_{\tilde{R}} A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(v_{\vec{w}}1_{E_l(Q)}, \sigma_1 1_R)(x)\sigma_2 dx\Bigg)^p\\
\lesssim\;& [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}[v_{\vec{w}}]_{A_\infty}^{p/p'}([\sigma_1]_{A_\infty}^{1/p_1}+[\sigma_2]_{A_\infty}^{1/p_2})^p\Bigg(\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l}\|g_Q\|^{p_2}_{L^{p_2}(\sigma_2)}\Bigg)^{p/p_2}\\
&\quad\cdot\Bigg(\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l}\sum_{\substack{R\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2}, R\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1}f_1>16\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_1}_{\Gamma(Q)}f_1}} \sigma_1(R)(\mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1}f_1)^{p_1}\Bigg)^{p/p_1}\\
=\;& [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}[v_{\vec{w}}]_{A_\infty}^{p/p'}([\sigma_1]_{A_\infty}^{1/p_1}+[\sigma_2]_{A_\infty}^{1/p_2})^p\\
&\quad\times\Bigg(\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}, Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l}\sum_{\substack{\tilde{R}\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2}, \tilde{R}\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{R}}^{\sigma_2}f_2>16\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_2}_{\Gamma(Q)}f_2}} \sigma_2(\tilde{R})(\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{R}}^{\sigma_2}f_2)^{p_2}\Bigg)^{p/p_2}\\
&\quad\times\Bigg(\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_l}\sum_{\substack{R\in\mathcal{Q}_{l+2}, R\subset Q\\ \mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1}f_1>16\mathbb{E}^{\sigma_1}_{\Gamma(Q)}f_1}} \sigma_1(R)(\mathbb{E}_R^{\sigma_1}f_1)^{p_1}\Bigg)^{p/p_1}\\
\lesssim\;& [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}[v_{\vec{w}}]_{A_\infty}^{p/p'}([\sigma_1]_{A_\infty}^{1/p_1}+[\sigma_2]_{A_\infty}^{1/p_2})^p\|f_1\|^p_{L^{p_1}(\sigma_1)}\cdot\|f_2\|^p_{L^{p_2}(\sigma_2)},
\end{aligned}$$

where (3.3) and (3.4) are used in the last step.

Summing up the above arguments, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I_4 \;\lesssim\;& [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}\Big([\sigma_1]_{A_\infty}^{p/p_1}[\sigma_2]_{A_\infty}^{p/p_2}+[v_{\vec{w}}]_{A_\infty}^{p/p'}([\sigma_1]_{A_\infty}^{p/p_1}+[\sigma_2]_{A_\infty}^{p/p_2})\Big)\\
&\times\|f_1\|^p_{L^{p_1}(\sigma_1)}\cdot\|f_2\|^p_{L^{p_2}(\sigma_2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (1.1).

3.4 Sharpness of the strong type estimates

Finally, we prove the sharpness. We use the example in [19]. That is,

$$R_1(\vec{f})(x) = p.v.\int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^m} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m (x_1 - (y_j)_1)}{(\sum_{j=1}^m |x-y_j|^2)^{(nm+1)/2}} f_1(y_1)\cdots f_m(y_m)dy_1\cdots dy_m.$$

Assume that $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Let

$$f_i(x) = |x|^{\varepsilon - n}\chi_{(0,1]^n}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad w_i(x) = |x|^{(n-\varepsilon)(p_i-1)}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, m.$$

Then we have $v_{\vec{w}} = |x|^{(n-\varepsilon)(mp-1)}$,

$$[\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}} = [v_{\vec{w}}]_{A_{mp}} \approx (1/\varepsilon)^{mp-1} \quad \text{and} \quad [\sigma_i]_{A_\infty} \lesssim 1/\varepsilon \quad i = 1, \cdots, m.$$

Moreover,

$$\|R_1(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(v_{\vec{w}})} \ge (1/\varepsilon)^{m+1/p} \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(w_i)} \approx (1/\varepsilon)^{1/p}.$$

It follows that our result is sharp whenever $\max_i\{p_i\} \le p'/(mp-1)$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we give the proof of Theorem 1.2. We begin with the Whitney decomposition.

Proposition 4.1 *[6, Proposition 7.3.4] Let Ω be an open nonempty proper subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then there exists a family of closed cubes $\{Q_j\}_j$ such that*

(i). $\bigcup_j Q_j = \Omega$ *and the Q_j's have disjoint interiors;*

(ii). $\sqrt{n}l(Q_j) \le \text{dist }(Q_j, \Omega^c) \le 4\sqrt{n}l(Q_j)$;

(iii). *if the boundaries of two cubes Q_j and Q_k touch, then*

$$\frac{1}{4} \le \frac{l(Q_j)}{l(Q_k)} \le 4;$$

(iv). *there exists some constant $1 < \gamma < 5/4$ such that $\sum_j \chi_{\gamma Q_j}(x) \le C_n$.*

Next we give a weak type estimate for the multilinear maximal function. Recall that the multilinear maximal function is defined by

$$\mathcal{M}(\vec{f}) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |f_i(y_i)|dy_i$$

and the dyadic maximal function is defined by

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathscr{D}}(\vec{f})(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x, Q \in \mathscr{D}} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |f_i(y_i)|dy_i.$$

Lemma 4.2 *Let $\vec{P} = (p_1, \cdots, p_m)$ with $1/p = 1/p_1 + \cdots + 1/p_m$ and $1 < p_1, \cdots, p_m < \infty$. Suppose that $\vec{w} = (w_1, \cdots, w_m)$ with $\vec{w} \in A_{\vec{P}}$. Then*

$$\|\mathcal{M}(\vec{f})\|_{L^{p,\infty}(v_{\vec{w}})} \leq C_{m,n,\vec{P}}[\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}^{1/p} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(w_i)}.$$

Proof. In [3], the authors proved that there exists 2^n family of dyadic grids $\mathscr{D}_\beta$ such that

$$\mathcal{M}(\vec{f})(x) \leq 6^{mn} \sum_{\beta=1}^{2^n} \mathcal{M}^{\mathscr{D}_\beta}(\vec{f})(x),$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathscr{D}_\beta}(\vec{f})(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x, Q \in \mathscr{D}_\beta} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f_i(y_i)| dy_i.$$

For some fixed dyadic grid $\mathscr{D}$,

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{M}^{\mathscr{D}}(\vec{f}) > \alpha\} = \bigcup_k Q_k,$$

where $\{Q_k\}_k$ are disjoint dyadic cubes in $\mathscr{D}$ and

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{|Q_k|} \int_{Q_k} |f_i(y_i)| dy_i > \alpha.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha^p \Big(\sum_k v_{\vec{w}}(Q_k) \Big) &\leq \sum_k \bigg(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{|Q_k|} \int_{Q_k} |f_i| dy_i \bigg)^p v_{\vec{w}}(Q_k) \\
&\leq \sum_k \bigg(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \int_{Q_k} |f_i|^{p_i} w_i dy_i \bigg)^{p/p_i} \frac{v_{\vec{w}}(Q_k) \prod_{i=1}^m \sigma_i(Q_k)^{p/p_i'}}{|Q_k|^{mp}} \\
&\leq [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}} \sum_k \bigg(\prod_{i=1}^{m} \int_{Q_k} |f_i|^{p_i} w_i dy_i \bigg)^{p/p_i} \\
&\leq [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \bigg(\sum_k \int_{Q_k} |f_i|^{p_i} w_i dy_i \bigg)^{p/p_i} \\
&\leq [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(w_i)}^p.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{M}^{\mathscr{D}}(\vec{f})\|_{L^{p,\infty}(v_{\vec{w}})} &= \sup_{\alpha > 0} \alpha v_{\vec{w}}(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{M}^{\mathscr{D}}(\vec{f}) > \alpha\})^{1/p} \\
&= \sup_{\alpha > 0} \alpha \Big(\sum_k v_{\vec{w}}(Q_k) \Big)^{1/p} \\
&\leq [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}^{1/p} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(w_i)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

The following result can be proved similarly to [18, p. 1240] and we omit the details.

Lemma 4.3 *Let T be an m-linear Calderón-Zygmund operator and Q be a cube. Set $Q^* = 10\sqrt{n}Q$ and $Q^{**} = 10\sqrt{n}Q^*$. Suppose that $x, z \in Q$ and $y \in Q^*$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}&|T(f_1, \cdots, f_{i-1}, f_i\chi_{(Q^{**})^c}, f_{i+1}, \cdots, f_m)(x) - T(f_1, \cdots, f_{i-1}, f_i\chi_{(Q^{**})^c},\\ &\quad f_{i+1}, \cdots, f_m)(y)| \le C\mathcal{M}(f_1, \cdots, f_m)(z).\end{aligned}$$

Next we give a characterization of the weak boundedness of multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators.

Lemma 4.4 *Let $1 < p, p_1, p_2 < \infty$ and $\vec{w} \in A_{\vec{P}}$, where $\vec{w} := (w_1, w_2)$ and $\vec{P} := (p_1, p_2)$ with $1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$. Suppose that T is a multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operator. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

(i). $\|T(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)\|_{L^{p,\infty}(v_{\vec{w}})} \le C \prod_{i=1}^2 \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(\sigma_i)}$;

(ii). $\int_Q |T(f_1\sigma_1\chi_Q, f_2\sigma_2\chi_Q)(x)|v_{\vec{w}}(x)dx \le C' \prod_{i=1}^2 \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(\sigma_i)} v_{\vec{w}}(Q)^{1/p'}$ *for all cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and all functions $f_i \in L^{p_i}(\sigma_i)$, $i = 1, 2$.*

Proof. (i)$\Rightarrow$ (ii): By the weak type boundness of T, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_Q |T(f_1\sigma_1\chi_Q, f_2\sigma_2\chi_Q)(x)|v_{\vec{w}}(x)dx\\ =& \int_0^\infty v_{\vec{w}}\{x \in Q : |T(f_1\sigma_1\chi_Q, f_2\sigma_2\chi_Q)(x)| > \lambda\}d\lambda\\ \le& \int_0^\infty \min\{v_{\vec{w}}(Q), \lambda^{-p}\|T(f_1\sigma_1\chi_Q, f_2\sigma_2\chi_Q)\|^p_{L^{p,\infty}(v_{\vec{w}})}\}d\lambda\\ =& p'\|T(f_1\sigma_1\chi_Q, f_2\sigma_2\chi_Q)\|_{L^{p,\infty}(v_{\vec{w}})} v_{\vec{w}}(Q)^{1/p'}\\ \le& p'C \prod_{i=1}^2 \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(\sigma_i)} v_{\vec{w}}(Q)^{1/p'}.\end{aligned}$$

(ii)$\Rightarrow$(i): Let Ω be an open set containing $\{x : |T(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)(x)| > \lambda\}$. Form the Whitney decomposition to Ω, we get Whitney cubes Q_j. Set $Q_j^* = 10\sqrt{n}Q_j$ and $Q_j^{**} = 10\sqrt{n}Q_j^*$. Let γ be defined as that in Proposition 4.1. In the following, we prove that

$$\begin{aligned}&v_{\vec{w}}\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |T(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)(x)| > 2\lambda, \mathcal{M}(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)(x) \le \beta\lambda\}\\ \lesssim& \beta v_{\vec{w}}(\Omega) + \mathcal{T}_*^p \beta^{-p}\lambda^{-p} \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|^p_{L^{p_i}(\sigma_i)},\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$\mathcal{T}_* = \sup_{\substack{\|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(\sigma_i)} \le 1\\ i=1,2}} \sup_Q v_{\vec{w}}(Q)^{-1/p'} \int_Q |T(f_1\sigma_1\chi_Q, f_2\sigma_2\chi_Q)(x)|v_{\vec{w}}(x)dx.$$

By Whitney's decomposition, we only need to estimate

$$v_{\vec{w}}\{x \in Q_j : |T(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)(x)| > 2\lambda, \mathcal{M}(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)(x) \le \beta\lambda\}. \tag{4.2}$$

Assume that there exists some $z_j \in Q_j$ such that $\mathcal{M}(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)(z_j) \le \beta\lambda$. Otherwise, (4.2) is zero. By the property of Whitney decomposition, we can also choose some $y_j \in Q_j^*$ such that $y_j \in \Omega^c$. Since $\{x : |T(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)(x)| > \lambda\} \subset \Omega$, we have $|T(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)(y_j)| \le \lambda$.

For any f_i, $i = 1, 2$, denote $f_i^0 = f_i\chi_{\gamma Q_j}$ and $f_i^\infty = f_i\chi_{(\gamma Q_j)^c}$. We consider every $f_i^{\alpha_i}$ separately, where $\alpha_i = 0$ or ∞.

Similarly as that in [18], we consider first the case $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \infty$. For $x \in Q_j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |T(f_1^\infty\sigma_1, f_2^\infty\sigma_2)(x) - T(f_1^\infty\sigma_1, f_2^\infty\sigma_2)(y_j)| \\
\le\ & |T(f_1\sigma_1\chi_{(Q_j^{**})^c}, f_2^\infty\sigma_2)(x) - T(f_1\sigma_1\chi_{(Q_j^{**})^c}, f_2^\infty\sigma_2)(y_j)| \\
& \quad + |T(f_1\sigma_1\chi_{Q_j^{**}\setminus\gamma Q_j}, f_2^\infty\sigma_2)(x) - T(f_1\sigma_1\chi_{Q_j^{**}\setminus\gamma Q_j}, f_2^\infty\sigma_2)(y_j)| \\
\le\ & |T(f_1\sigma_1\chi_{(Q_j^{**})^c}, f_2^\infty\sigma_2)(x) - T(f_1\sigma_1\chi_{(Q_j^{**})^c}, f_2^\infty\sigma_2)(y_j)| \\
& + |T(f_1\sigma_1\chi_{Q_j^{**}\setminus\gamma Q_j}, f_2\sigma_2\chi_{(Q_j^{**})^c})(x) - T(f_1\sigma_1\chi_{Q_j^{**}\setminus\gamma Q_j}, f_2\sigma_2\chi_{(Q_j^{**})^c})(y_j)| \\
& \quad + |T(f_1\sigma_1\chi_{Q_j^{**}\setminus\gamma Q_j}, f_2\sigma_2\chi_{Q_j^{**}\setminus\gamma Q_j})(x)| \\
& \quad + |T(f_1\sigma_1\chi_{Q_j^{**}\setminus\gamma Q_j}, f_2\sigma_2\chi_{Q_j^{**}\setminus\gamma Q_j})(y_j)| \\
\le\ & C_1\mathcal{M}(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)(z_j) + |T(f_1\sigma_1\chi_{Q_j^{**}\setminus\gamma Q_j}, f_2\sigma_2\chi_{Q_j^{**}\setminus\gamma Q_j})(y_j)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, suppose that $\alpha_i = \infty$ for $i = 1$ or $i = 2$. Without loss of generality, assume that $i = 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |T(f_1^\infty\sigma_1, f_2^0\sigma_2)(x) - T(f_1^\infty\sigma_1, f_2^0\sigma_2)(y_j)| \\
\le\ & |T(f_1\sigma_1\chi_{(Q_j^{**})^c}, f_2^0\sigma_2)(x) - T(f_1\sigma_1\chi_{(Q_j^{**})^c}, f_2^0\sigma_2)(y_j)| \\
& \quad + |T(f_1\sigma_1\chi_{Q_j^{**}\setminus\gamma Q_j}, f_2^0\sigma_2)(x)| + |T(f_1\sigma_1\chi_{Q_j^{**}\setminus\gamma Q_j}, f_2^0\sigma_2)(y_j)| \\
\le\ & C_2\mathcal{M}(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)(z_j) + |T(f_1\sigma_1\chi_{Q_j^{**}\setminus\gamma Q_j}, f_2^0\sigma_2)(y_j)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& |T(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)(x) - T(f_1^0\sigma_1, f_2^0\sigma_2)(x) - T(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)(y_j)| \\
\le\ & C_3\mathcal{M}(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)(z_j) + |T(f_1^0\sigma_1, f_2^0\sigma_2)(y_j)| \\
& \quad + |T(f_1\sigma_1\chi_{Q_j^{**}\setminus\gamma Q_j}, f_2\sigma_2\chi_{Q_j^{**}\setminus\gamma Q_j})(y_j)| \\
& \quad + |T(f_1\sigma_1\chi_{Q_j^{**}\setminus\gamma Q_j}, f_2^0\sigma_2)(y_j)| + |T(f_1^0\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2\chi_{Q_j^{**}\setminus\gamma Q_j})(y_j)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, for any $0 < \delta < 1/2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |T(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)(x) - T(f_1^0\sigma_1, f_2^0\sigma_2)(x) - T(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)(y_j)|^\delta \\
& \quad \le (C_3\beta)^\delta\lambda^\delta + \Sigma'^\delta,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma' \ =\ & |T(f_1^0\sigma_1, f_2^0\sigma_2)(y_j)| + |T(f_1\sigma_1\chi_{Q_j^{**}\setminus\gamma Q_j}, f_2\sigma_2\chi_{Q_j^{**}\setminus\gamma Q_j})(y_j)| \\
& + |T(f_1\sigma_1\chi_{Q_j^{**}\setminus\gamma Q_j}, f_2^0\sigma_2)(y_j)| + |T(f_1^0\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2\chi_{Q_j^{**}\setminus\gamma Q_j})(y_j)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $|Q_j^* \bigcap \Omega^c|$ is comparable with $|Q_j^{**}|$ due to the property of the Whitney decomposition. Integrating over $y_j \in Q_j^* \bigcap \Omega^c$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|Q_j^* \bigcap \Omega^c|} \int_{Q_j^* \bigcap \Omega^c} \Big| T(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)(x) - T(f_1^0\sigma_1, f_2^0\sigma_2)(x) \\ & \qquad\qquad - T(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)(y_j) \Big|^\delta dy_j \\ \leq \; & (C_3\beta\lambda)^\delta + \frac{1}{|Q_j^* \bigcap \Omega^c|} \int_{Q_j^* \bigcap \Omega^c} \Sigma'^\delta dy_j \\ \leq \; & (C_3\beta\lambda)^\delta + C_4 \mathcal{M}(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)(z_j)^\delta, \end{aligned}$$

where Kolmogorov's inequality and the $L^1 \times L^1 \to L^{1/2,\infty}$ boundedness of T are used, see [18, p. 1239]. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & |T(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)(x) - T(f_1^0\sigma_1, f_2^0\sigma_2)(x) - T(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)(y_j)|^\delta \\ \geq \; & |T(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)(x) - T(f_1^0\sigma_1, f_2^0\sigma_2)(x)|^\delta - |T(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)(y_j)|^\delta \\ \geq \; & |T(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)(x) - T(f_1^0\sigma_1, f_2^0\sigma_2)(x)|^\delta - \lambda^\delta, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$|T(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)(x) - T(f_1^0\sigma_1, f_2^0\sigma_2)(x)| \leq (1 + C_5\beta)\lambda.$$

It follows that for $\beta \leq (2C_5)^{-1}$,

$$|T(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)(x) - T(f_1^0\sigma_1, f_2^0\sigma_2)(x)| \leq (1 + C_5\beta)\lambda \leq 3\lambda/2.$$

Denote

$$E_j = \{x \in Q_j : |T(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)(x)| > 2\lambda; \mathcal{M}(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)(x) \leq \beta\lambda\}.$$

Then we have

$$E_j \subset \{x \in Q_j : |T(f_1^0\sigma_1, f_2^0\sigma_2)(x)| > \lambda/2\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j v_{\vec{w}}(E_j) \leq \; & \beta^{-p} \sum_{j : v_{\vec{w}}(E_j) > \beta v_{\vec{w}}(\gamma Q_j)} v_{\vec{w}}(E_j) \Big(\frac{2}{\lambda v_{\vec{w}}(\gamma Q_j)} \int_{E_j} |T(f_1^0\sigma_1, f_2^0\sigma_2)| v_{\vec{w}} \Big)^p \\ & + \beta \sum_{j : v_{\vec{w}}(E_j) \leq \beta v_{\vec{w}}(\gamma Q_j)} v_{\vec{w}}(\gamma Q_j) \\ := \; & I + II. \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I \leq \; & (\frac{2}{\beta\lambda})^p \mathcal{T}_*^p \sum_j \prod_{i=1}^2 \left(\int_{\gamma Q_j} |f_i(y_i)|^{p_i} \sigma_i dy_i \right)^{p/p_i} \\ \leq \; & (\frac{2}{\beta\lambda})^p \mathcal{T}_*^p \prod_{i=1}^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\sum_j \chi_{\gamma Q_j}) |f_i(y_i)|^{p_i} \sigma_i dy_i \right)^{p/p_i} \\ \lesssim \; & \beta^{-p} \lambda^{-p} \mathcal{T}_*^p \prod_{i=1}^2 \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(\sigma_i)}^p. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by the property of Whitney's decomposition,

$$II \leq \beta \sum_j v_{\vec{w}}(\gamma Q_j) \leq C_n \beta v_{\vec{w}}(\Omega).$$

This proves (4.1). Taking the infimum over Ω, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & v_{\vec{w}}\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |T(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)(x)| > 2\lambda; \mathcal{M}(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)(x) \leq \beta\lambda\} \\ \lesssim\ & \beta v_{\vec{w}}\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |T(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)(x)| > \lambda\} + \mathcal{T}_*^p \beta^{-p} \lambda^{-p} \prod_{i=1}^2 \|f_i\|^p_{L^{p_i}(\sigma_i)}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)\|^p_{L^{p,\infty}(v_{\vec{w}})} \\ =\ & \sup_{\lambda>0} (2\lambda)^p v_{\vec{w}}\{|T(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)(x)| > 2\lambda\} \\ \leq\ & \sup_{\lambda>0} (2\lambda)^p v_{\vec{w}}\{|T(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)(x)| > 2\lambda; \mathcal{M}(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)(x) \leq \beta\lambda\} \\ & \quad + \sup_{\lambda>0} (2\lambda)^p v_{\vec{w}}\{\mathcal{M}(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)(x) > \beta\lambda\} \\ \leq\ & \sup_{\lambda>0} 2^p \beta C_6 \lambda^p v_{\vec{w}}\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |T(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)(x)| > \lambda\} \\ & \quad + (2^p \|\mathcal{M}\|^p_{L^{p_1}(w_1)\times L^{p_2}(w_2)\to L^{p,\infty}(v_{\vec{w}})} + C_6 \mathcal{T}_*^p) \beta^{-p} \prod_{i=1}^2 \|f_i\|^p_{L^{p_i}(\sigma_i)} \\ =\ & 2^p \beta C_6 \|T(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)\|^p_{L^{p,\infty}(v_{\vec{w}})} \\ & \quad + (2^p \|\mathcal{M}\|^p_{L^{p_1}(w_1)\times L^{p_2}(w_2)\to L^{p,\infty}(v_{\vec{w}})} + C_6 \mathcal{T}_*^p) \beta^{-p} \prod_{i=1}^2 \|f_i\|^p_{L^{p_i}(\sigma_i)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\beta = \min\{(2C_5)^{-1}, (2^{p+1}C_6)^{-1}\}$. By Lemma 4.2, we get

$$\|T(f_1\sigma_1, f_2\sigma_2)\|_{L^{p,\infty}(v_{\vec{w}})} \lesssim (\mathcal{T}_* + [\vec{w}]^{1/p}_{A_{\vec{P}}}) \prod_{i=1}^2 \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(\sigma_i)}. \tag{4.3}$$

□

The following is a characterization of the weak boundedness of $A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}$.

Lemma 4.5 *Let $1 < p, p_1, p_2 < \infty$ and $\vec{w} \in A_{\vec{P}}$, where $\vec{w} := (w_1, w_2)$ and $\vec{P} := (p_1, p_2)$ with $1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$. Suppose that $\mathscr{D}$ is a dyadic grid and $\mathcal{S}$ is a sparse family in $\mathscr{D}$. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

(i). $\|A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(|f_1|\sigma_1, |f_2|\sigma_2)\|_{L^{p,\infty}(v_{\vec{w}})} \leq C \prod_{i=1}^2 \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(\sigma_i)}$;

(ii). $\int_Q A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(|f_1|\sigma_1\chi_Q, |f_2|\sigma_2\chi_Q)(x) v_{\vec{w}}(x)dx \leq C \prod_{i=1}^2 \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(\sigma_i)} v_{\vec{w}}(Q)^{1/p'}$ *for all cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and all functions $f_i \in L^{p_i}(\sigma_i)$, $i = 1, 2$;*

(iii). $\int_Q A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(|f_1|\sigma_1\chi_Q, |f_2|\sigma_2\chi_Q)(x) v_{\vec{w}}(x)dx \leq C \prod_{i=1}^2 \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(\sigma_i)} v_{\vec{w}}(Q)^{1/p'}$ *for all dyadic cubes $Q \in \mathcal{S}$ and all functions $f_i \in L^{p_i}(\sigma_i)$, $i = 1, 2$.*

Proof. (i)$\Rightarrow$ (ii) is similar to the proof of Lemma 4.4. (ii)$\Rightarrow$(iii) is obvious. We only need to prove (iii)$\Rightarrow$ (i).

For any $t>0$, denote $\Omega_t := \{x\in\mathbb{R}^n : A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(|f_1|\sigma_1,|f_2|\sigma_2)(x)>t\} := \bigcup_\zeta P_\zeta$, where P_ζ are pairwise disjoint maximal cubes in Ω_t. We have

$$\sum_{Q_{j,k}\supset P_\zeta}\prod_{i=1}^{2}\frac{1}{|Q_{j,k}|}\int_{Q_{j,k}}|f_i(y_i)|\sigma_i dy_i > t,$$

and

$$\sum_{Q_{j,k}\supsetneq P_\zeta}\prod_{i=1}^{2}\frac{1}{|Q_{j,k}|}\int_{Q_{j,k}}|f_i(y_i)|\sigma_i dy_i \le t.$$

Therefore, for $x\in E_\zeta = P_\zeta\cap\Omega_{2t}$, we have

$$2t < A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(|f_1|\sigma_1,|f_2|\sigma_2)(x) \le A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(|f_1|\sigma_1 1_{P_\zeta},|f_2|\sigma_2 1_{P_\zeta})(x)+t.$$

That is,

$$A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(|f_1|\sigma_1 1_{P_\zeta},|f_2|\sigma_2 1_{P_\zeta})(x)>t,\quad x\in E_\zeta.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(2t)^p v_{\vec{w}}(\Omega_{2t})\\
=\;& (2t)^p\sum_\zeta v_{\vec{w}}(E_\zeta)\\
\le\;& 2^p\sum_{v_{\vec{w}}(E_\zeta)>\beta v_{\vec{w}}(P_\zeta)} v_{\vec{w}}(E_\zeta)\bigg(\frac{1}{v_{\vec{w}}(E_\zeta)}\int_{E_\zeta}A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(|f_1|\sigma_1 1_{P_\zeta},|f_2|\sigma_2 1_{P_\zeta})(x)dx\bigg)^p\\
&\quad+(2t)^p\sum_{v_{\vec{w}}(E_\zeta)\le\beta v_{\vec{w}}(P_\zeta)}\beta v_{\vec{w}}(P_\zeta)\\
\le\;& 2^p\beta^{1-p}\sum_\zeta v_{\vec{w}}(P_\zeta)^{1-p}\bigg(\int_{P_\zeta}A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(|f_1|\sigma_1 1_{P_\zeta},|f_2|\sigma_2 1_{P_\zeta})(x)dx\bigg)^p\\
&\quad+2^p\beta\|A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(|f_1|\sigma_1,|f_2|\sigma_2)\|^p_{L^{p,\infty}(v_{\vec{w}})}\\
\le\;& C^p2^p\beta^{1-p}\sum_\zeta\bigg(\int_{P_\zeta}|f_1|^{p_1}\sigma_1\bigg)^{p/p_1}\cdot\bigg(\int_{P_\zeta}|f_2|^{p_2}\sigma_2\bigg)^{p/p_2}\\
&\quad+2^p\beta\|A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(|f_1|\sigma_1,|f_2|\sigma_2)\|^p_{L^{p,\infty}(v_{\vec{w}})}\\
\le\;& C^p2^p\beta^{1-p}\|f_1\|^p_{L^{p_1}(\sigma_1)}\|f_2\|^p_{L^{p_2}(\sigma_2)}+2^p\beta\|A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(|f_1|\sigma_1,|f_2|\sigma_2)\|^p_{L^{p,\infty}(v_{\vec{w}})}.
\end{aligned}$$

By setting $\beta=2^{-p-1}$ and taking the supremum of t, we get the conclusion desired. □

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By setting the Banach space $\mathscr{X}$ to be $L^1_{v_{\vec{w}}}(Q)$, we see from (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_Q|T(f_1\sigma_1\chi_Q,f_2\sigma_2\chi_Q)(x)|v_{\vec{w}}(x)dx\\
&\quad\lesssim\sup_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}\int_Q A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(|f_1|\sigma_1\chi_Q,|f_2|\sigma_2\chi_Q)(x)v_{\vec{w}}(x)dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & v_{\vec{w}}(Q)^{-1/p'} \int_Q |T(f_1\sigma_1\chi_Q, f_2\sigma_2\chi_Q)(x)| v_{\vec{w}}(x)dx \\ & \lesssim \sup_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}} v_{\vec{w}}(Q)^{-1/p'} \int_Q A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(|f_1|\sigma_1\chi_Q, |f_2|\sigma_2\chi_Q)(x) v_{\vec{w}}(x)dx. \end{aligned}$$

For fixed $\mathscr{D}, \mathcal{S}$, by Lemma 4.5, it suffices to estimate

$$v_{\vec{w}}(Q)^{-1/p'} \int_Q A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(|f_1|\sigma_1\chi_Q, |f_2|\sigma_2\chi_Q)(x) v_{\vec{w}}(x)dx$$

for dyadic cube $Q \in \mathcal{S}$. By Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & v_{\vec{w}}(Q)^{-1/p'} \int_Q A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(|f_1|\sigma_1\chi_Q, |f_2|\sigma_2\chi_Q)(x) v_{\vec{w}}(x)dx \\ \leq \; & v_{\vec{w}}(Q)^{-1/p'} \int_Q A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(v_{\vec{w}}\chi_Q, |f_2|\sigma_2\chi_Q)|f_1|\sigma_1(x)dx \\ \leq \; & v_{\vec{w}}(Q)^{-1/p'} \left(\int_Q (A_{\mathscr{D},\mathcal{S}}(v_{\vec{w}}\chi_Q, |f_2|\sigma_2\chi_Q))^{p_1'}\sigma_1 dx \right)^{1/p_1'} \\ & \cdot \left(\int_Q |f_1|^{p_1}\sigma_1(x)dx \right)^{1/p_1} \\ \lesssim \; & [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}}^{1/p} [v_{\vec{w}}]_{A_\infty}^{1/p'} ([\sigma_1]_{A_\infty}^{1/p_1} + [\sigma_2]_{A_\infty}^{1/p_2}) \|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}(\sigma_1)} \|f_2\|_{L^{p_2}(\sigma_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.3), we get the conclusion desired. □

References

[1] S. Buckley, Estimates for operator norms on weighted spaces and reverse Jensen inequalities, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 340 (1993), 253–272.

[2] D. Cruz-Uribe, J.M. Martell, C. Pérez, Sharp weighted estimates for classical operators, Adv. Math., 229 (2012), 408–441.

[3] W. Damián, A.K. Lerner and C. Pérez, Sharp weighted bounds for multilinear maximal functions and Calderón-Zygmund operators, http://arxiv.org/abs/1211.5115.

[4] X.T. Duong, R. Gong, L. Grafakos, J. Li, L. Yan, Maximal operator for multilinear singular integrals with non-smooth kernels, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 58 (2009), 2517–2541.

[5] X.T. Duong, L. Grafakos, and L. Yan, Multilinear operators with non-smooth kernels and commutators of singular integrals, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 362 (2010) 2089–2113.

[6] L. Grafakos, Modern Fourier Analysis, Second Edition, Springer-Verlag, 2008.

[7] L. Grafakos, R.H. Torres, Multilinear Calderón-Zygmund theory, Adv. Math. 165 (2002) 124–164.

[8] L. Grafakos, R.H. Torres, Maximal operator and weighted norm inequalities for multilinear singular integrals, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 51 (2002) 1261–1276.

[9] G. Hu and D. Yang. Maximal commutators of BMO functions and singular integral operators with non-smooth kernels on spaces of homogeneous type, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 354 (2009), 249–262.

[10] T.P. Hytönen, The sharp weighted bound for general Calderón-Zygmund operators, Ann. of Math., 175 (2012), 1473–1506.

[11] T. Hytönen and M. Lacey, The A_p-A_∞ inequality for general Calderón-Zygmund operators, Indiana Univ. Journal of Math. (to appear).

[12] T. Hytönen, M. Lacey, H. Martikainen, T. Orponen, M. Reguera, E. Sawyer, I. Uriarte-Tuero, Weak and strong type estimates for maximal truncations of Calderón-Zygmund operators on A_p weighted spaces (2011), available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1103.5229.

[13] T. Hytönen and C. Pérez, Sharp weighted bounds involving A_∞, J. Anal. & P.D.E. In Press.

[14] M. Lacey, S. Petermichl, M. Reguera, Sharp A_2 inequality for Haar shift operators, Math. Ann., 348 (2010), 127–141.

[15] M. Lacey, E. Sawyer and I. Uriarte-Tuero, A characterization of two weight norm inequalities for maximal singular integrals with one doubling measure, J. Anal. & P.D.E. 5(2012), 1–60.

[16] A.K. Lerner, On an estimate of Calderón-Zygmund operators by dyadic positive operators, J. Anal. Math., (to appear).

[17] A.K. Lerner, A simple proof of the A_2 conjecture, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2012; doi: 10.1093/imrn/rns145.

[18] A.K. Lerner, S. Ombrosi, C. Pérez, R.H. Torres, R. Trujillo-González, New maximal functions and multiple weights for the multilinear Calderón-Zygmund theory, Adv. Math., 220 (2009), 1222–1264.

[19] K. Li, K. Moen and W. Sun, Weighted estimates for multilinear maximal functions and Calderón-Zygmund operators, preprint.

[20] H. Lin, Y. Meng, and D. Yang. Weighted estimates for commutators of multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators with non-doubling measures, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B, 30 (2010), 1–18.

[21] C. Pérez, S. Treil and A. Volberg, On A_2 conjecture and corona decomposition of weights, Available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1006.2630

[22] S. Petermichl, The sharp bound for the Hilbert transform on weighted Lebesgue spaces in terms of the classical A_p characteristic, Amer. J. Math., 129 (2007), 1355–1375.

[23] S. Petermichl, The sharp weighted bound for the Riesz transforms, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 136 (2008), 1237–1249.

[24] S. Shi, Z. Fu, and S. Lu. Weighted estimates for commutators of one-sided oscillatory integral operators, Front. Math. China, 6 (2011), 507–516.

[25] Q. Xue and Y. Ding. Weighted estimates for the multilinear commutators of the Littlewood-Paley operators, Sci. China Ser. A, 52 (2009), 1849–1868.